ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA70551 03/13/2006

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91162078	
Party	Plaintiff THE UNITED STATES PLAYING CARD COMPANY	
Correspondence Address	LYNDA E. ROESCH DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP 1900 CHEMED CENTER 255 EAST FIFTH STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202 steven.abreau@dinslaw.com	
Submission	Other Motions/Papers	
Filer's Name	Kristi Wells	
Filer's e-mail	kristi.wells@dinslaw.com, lynda.roesch@dinslaw.com, kathryn.przywara@dinslaw.com, steven.abreu@dinslaw.com	
Signature	/s/ Kristi Wells	
Date	03/13/2006	
Attachments	noticeoffiling.pdf (35 pages) a.pdf (3 pages) b.pdf (13 pages) c.pdf (21 pages) d.pdf (6 pages) e.pdf (27 pages) f.pdf (17 pages) g.pdf (12 pages) h.pdf (18 pages)	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE UNITED STATES PLAYING

CARD COMPANY.

Opposer,

٧.

Opposition No.: 91162078

HARBRO, LLC,

Applicant.

NOTICE OF FILING

Opposer United States Playing Card Company (USPC) hereby gives notice that the Opposer has filed the following documents with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board today, March 13, 2006. Due to the voluminous nature of the documents filed, Opposer is sending the Board and Applicant hard copies of the attachments by First Class, U.S. Mail.

DEPOSITIONS

Deposition of Kevin Bagger

Deposition of Daniel Espenscheid

Deposition of Kathryn Knue Przywara, Esq.

Deposition of Joseph Robinette, Esq.

OPPOSER'S EXHIBITS FROM THE DEPOSITION OF KEVIN BAGGER

- 25. Notice of testimony deposition and subpoena duces tecum
- 26. Las Vegas Official Visitors Guide for Winter/Spring 2005
- 27. Las Vegas Show Guide
- 28. Las Vegas Area Map and Visitor's Guide

OPPOSER'S EXHIBITS FROM THE DEPOSITION OF DANIEL ESPENSCHEID

OPPOSER'S EXHIBITS FROM THE DEPOSITION OF DANIEL ESPENSCHEID

- 1. Amended notice of testimony deposition
- 2. Photo of Las Vegas Strip
- 3. www.1st-las-vegas.com printout
- 4. www.paulsongaming.com printout
- 5. www.visitlasvagas.comprintout
- 6. www.vegas.com printout
- 7. Vegas brand playing cards (unsealed)
- 8. Vegas brand playing cards (sealed)
- 9. Joker playing card
- 10. www.gamelandsports.com printout
- 11. vivavegas.co.uk. printout
- 12. www.thegamesaloon.com printout
- 13. www.oldvegaschips.com printout
- 14. search.ebay.com printout
- 15. www.pokerchipwholesale.com printout
- 16. www.nationalgeographic.com printout
- 17. travel.discovery.com printout
- 18. Where Magazine
- 19. What's On magazine
- 20. MGM Grand playing cards
- 21. Bellagio playing cards
- 22. Mirage playing cards
- 23. Suncoast playing cards
- 24. Mandalay Bay playing cards
- 25. New York New York playing cards
- 26. Excalibur playing cards
- 27. Today in Las Vegas magazine

OPPOSER'S EXHIBITS FROM DEPOSITION OF KATHRYN KNUE PRZYWARA, ESQ.

- 1. Notice of Testimony Depositon
- 2. www.gpigaming.com printout
- 3. www.lasvegas100merchandise.com printout
- 4. Charm bracelet
- 5. Key ring
- 6. www.gamblersgeneralstore.com printout
- 7. www.oldvegaschips.com printout
- 8. www.oldvegaschips.com printout

OPPOSER'S EXHIBITS FROM DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH A. ROBINETTE, ESQ.

- 1. Notice of Testimony Deposition
- 2. Promotional advertisement
- 3. Map of stores participating in promotion

NOTICE OF RELIANCE DOCUMENTS

VOLUME I

	Publication	Date	Use
1.	Merriam - Webster's Geographical Dictionary Third Edition (not retrieved from LEXIS/NEXIS)	©1997	Definitions of Las Vegas and Vegas
2.	MSN - Encarta Encyclopedia Article (not retrieved from LEXIS/ NEXIS)	©1993-2005	Entry on Las Vegas, Nevada
3.	NO EXHIBIT		
4.	NO EXHIBIT		
5.	Entertainment Weekly	June 3, 2005	A movie about teens gone wildride through L.A. and Vegas
6.	Entertainment Weekly	June 3, 2005	Angelina Jolie and Billy Bob Thornton tie the knot May 5 in Vegas
7.	Chicago Tribune	June 1, 2005	What happens in Vegas may stay in Vegas
8.	Curve	June, 2005	high-rollin' types, Vegas may be more your style
9.	FSB	June, 2005	Drive 35 minutes northwest from Vegas decidedly un-/Vegas amenities
10.	Market Wire	June 1, 2005	at places like Cabo or Vegas?
11.	The Myrtle Beach Sun-News	June 1, 2005	and Tower in Vegas with a Sin City building of her [Ivana Trump] own.
12	San Jose Mercury News (California)	June 1, 2005	I haven't seen the show in Vegas, but the view
13.	Texas Monthly	June, 2005	the self-make billionaire headed to Vegas with a goal
14.	The Vancouver Province (British Columbia)	June 1, 2005	Donald Trump is getting some competition in Vegas from a rival
15.	Washingtonian	June, 2005	Power poker players in Vegas
16.	Associated Press Financial Wire	May 31, 2005	flights from the Chinese mainland to Vegas Macau may soon overtake Vegas
17.	The Associated Press	May 31, 2005	Some Vegas hotels added family attractions
18.	The Associated Press State & Local Wire	May 31, 2005	We picked Vegas because
19.	Contra Costa Times	May 31, 2005	gig at a new Vegas Trump hotel
20.	Geelong Advertiser	May 31, 2005	managing the troupe in Vegas

	Publication	Date	Use
21	High Point Enterprise	May 31, 2005	Original plans for the Vegas market
22.	Inland Valley Daily Bulleting (Ontario)	May 31, 2005	while detectives in Vegas were
23.	Newsday	May 31, 2005	I'd be barred for life, which I am now in Vegas
24.	Newsday	May 31, 2005	In Vegas, they overtly told me to get the hell out.
25.	The Orange County Register	May 31, 2005	Last year, Vegas developers sought permission to build
26.	The Baltimore Sun	May 30, 2005	picked up the Vegas trip tab.
27.	AMERICAN MORNING TV show transcript	May 30, 2005 7:00 a.m. EST	these are people who live in red hot markets, Miami, Vegas, you name it
28.	Fortune	May 30, 2005	when the speculating boom hit Vegas
29.	The Halivax Daily News Nova Scotia	May 30, 2005	attending a Vegas wedding.
30.	Lexington Herald Leader	May 30, 2005	It's kind of like going to Vegas.
31.	The Mirror	May 30, 2005	I saw Rod in Vegas
32.	The New York Post	May 30, 2005	had not gotten permission to go to Vegas
33.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 30, 2005	no personal financial gain at the Vegas meeting.
34.	The Sports Network	May 30, 2005	Sacramento Kings and the Palms casino here in Vegas
35.	VARBusiness	May 30, 2005	after the May show in Vegas
36.	Chicago Tribune	May 29, 2005	as anyone who has been to Vegas can attest.
37.	Detroit Free Press	May 29, 2005	players expected to descend on Vegas over six weeks
38.	The Gazette	May 29, 2005	both Mystere and Ka on a recent Vegas trip
39.	Hindustan Times	May 29, 2005	go with my mother to Vegas so I
40.	The Jakarta Post	May 29, 2005	And if he gets to Vegas, what then?
41.	Lincoln Journal Star	May 29, 2005	Since Vegas is within driving distance
42.	The New York Post	May 29, 2005	marathon that began in Vegas
43.	The People	May 29, 2005	His welcome in Vegas as an unknown
44.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 29, 2005	The History of Vegas by Jodi Angel
45.	The Sunday Independent Ireland	May 29, 2005	to leave Memphis and go to Vegas at certain times

	Publication	Date	Use
46.	Sunday Mail Scotland	May 29, 2005	to reach the final table in Vegas
47.	Sunday Mirror	May 29, 2005	He still plays Vegas four times a year
48.	Sunday Times London	May 29, 2005	unlucky guy employed by a Vegas casino
49.	Time-Picayune	May 29, 2005	has a gig in Vegas just before Barry's next concert.
50.	The Washington Post	May 29, 2005	His years in Vegas,
51.	The Wichita Eagle	May 29, 2005	domestic U.S. vacation, such as Orlando or Vegas
52.	Bristol Evening Post	May 28, 2005	who will fly out to meet him in Vegas
53.	Channel NewsAsia	May 28, 2005	However you slice or dice Vegas, one thing is for sure, the tourists just keep coming.
54.	Gold Coast Bulletin Australia	May 28, 2005	once a dancer at Vegas's Desert Inn
55.	The Guardian London	May 28, 2005	says he always feels phoney in Vegas
56.	Guelph Mercury Ontario, Canada	May 28, 2005	who now lives in Vegas
57.	The Journal News	May 28, 2005	Her fiancé is going to Vegas
58.	The Mirror	May 28, 2005	and a trip to Vegas is the only roll
59.	The Montgomery Advertiser	May 28, 2005	They spent the weekend in Vegas
60.	The Montgomery Advertiser	May 28, 2005	When we showed up to play in Vegas
61.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 28, 2005	at the Wynn Hotel and Casino in Vegas
62.	Press & Sun-Bulletin	May 28, 2005	A trend that's very hot in Vegas
63.	Sacramento Bee	May 28, 2005	during a Vegas concert
64.	The Toronto Sun	May 28, 2005	when Kim called him from Vegas.
65.	Albuquerque Tribune	May 27, 2005	Golden Nugget Hotel in downtown Vegas
66.	Business Wire	May 27, 2005	Evans returned to Vegas to begin
67.	The Capital Times	May 27, 2005	consumer electronics show in Vegas
68.	Channel NewsAsia	May 27, 2005	for many resorts in Vegas
69.	Chicago Tribune	May 27, 2005	Vegas has its Vegas spectacles. New York has
70.	The Commercial Appeal	May 27, 2005	all over the country Vegas, Dallas, Atlanta, Iowa
71.	Copley News Service	May 27, 2005	is coming to Vegas.
72.	The Daily News of Los Angeles	May 27, 2005	Instead of doing a Vegas trip

	Publication	Date	Üse
73.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 27, 2005	Forget Vegas; this time the hip
75.	i madeipina myanei	Way 21, 2000	Ocean cats
74.	Richmond Times Dispatch	May 27,2005	24-hour marathons at the
	•	, ,	Bellagio in Vegas.
75.	St. Johns' Telegram	May 27, 2005	for a trip to Vegas or anything.
	Newfoundland		
76.	Tampa Tribune	May 27, 2005	A Winner in Vegas
77.	Akron Beacon Journal	May 26, 2005	A lot of people want to go to Vegas,
78.	Daily ews	May 26, 2005	The star even invited them to the
70.	Daily ews	Way 20, 2003	Vegas set
79.	The Daily Oklahoman	May 26, 2005	go to Vegas and lose it all in one
		,,	roll
80.	The Frontrunner	May 26, 2005	co-hosting a fundraiser in Vegas.
81.	The Hill	May 26, 2005	draw some of Washington's top
			names to Vegas
82.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 26, 2005	about having a second home in
			Vegas,
83.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 26, 2005	I might plan to be in Vegas at that
84.	The Ledger	May 26, 2005	time. I've been to Vegas plenty of times,
04.	The Leager	May 20, 2003	i ve been to vegas pienty of times,
85.	The Miami Herald	May 26, 2005	Todd English kitchens including
		•	Olives in Boston, D.C., Vegas and
			Tokyo
86.	national-jeweler.com	May 26, 2005	Memorial lecture in Vegas
0.7		14 00 0005	District the DI Hardward
87.	Orlando Sentinel	May 26, 2005	Director for the Plaza Hotel and
88.	Rocky Mountain News	May 26, 2005	Casino in Vegas important thing right now is for us
00.	Nocky Wouldain News	Way 20, 2003	to be in Vegas.
89.	Tulsa World	May 26, 2005	found that state resident
		,,,	preferred Vegas more than 2-to-1.
90.	The Vancouver Province	May 26, 2005	we assume the sports book in
	British Columbia		Vegas thinks
91.	The Washington Post	May 26, 2005	It was during the Vegas visit that
92.	Variety	May 23, 2005	the integrity of the awards had
[Ì		been compromised when "Q"
	0 4 5 4 7 7		quickly dumped them to play Vegas.
93.	South Bend Tribune	May 22, 2005	NBA reps revisiting Vegas
94.	Albuquerque Journal	May 22, 2005	to fight on the Hopkins-Taylor
95.	The Atlanta Journal-Constitution	May 22, 2005	card in Vegasa good shot at winning Daytona,
30.	The Alianta Journal-Constitution	way 22, 2000	Vegas and California.
96.	The Boston Herald	May 22, 2005	was eager to catch Celine in
33.	2000	,,	Vegas,
97.	Connecticut Post	May 22, 2005	I played with Paula Creamer in
1		-	Vegas

4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Publication	Date	Use
98.	The Dallas Morning News	May 22, 2005	Luxury ride rolls in Vegas
99.	The Desert Sun	May 22, 2005	hops a bus and goes to Vegas
100.	Great Falls Tribune	May 22, 2005	One big-name project in Vegas; the Andre Agassi College Preparatory Academy
101.	The Herald	May 22, 2005	trips to California and Vegas
102.	The Miami Herald	May 22, 2005	a trio from Havana Night Club, the Vegas show featuring
103.	The New York Times	May 22, 2005	absconded to Vegas
104.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 22, 2005	simply known as Binions's in downtown Vegas.
105.	Plain Dealer	May 22, 2005	We went to Vegas together 11 times
106.	Times-Picayune	May 22, 2005	(2007) All-Star Game coming to Vegas.
107.	The Washington Post	May 22, 2005	to make a debauched night in Vegas disappear
108.	Winnipeg Sun Manitoba	May 22, 2005	win the trip to Vegas,
109.	GOOD MORNING AMERICA	May 21, 2005	if you're headed to Vegas.
	TV show, ABC	7:00 am ET	
110.	Copley News Service	May 21, 2005	and he wants to go to Vegas
111.	Daily Town Talk	May 21, 2005	four round-robin games in the Vegas tournament
112.	The Daily Telegraph London	May 21, 2005	A sense of History? In Vegas? Come on!
113.	Reno Gazette-Journal	May 21, 2005	are of the quality you see in Vegas
114.	Spokesman Review	May 21, 2005	We successfully avoided Vegas one more time,
115.	The Daily News of Los Angeles	May 20, 2005	as the residential outskirts of Vegas.
116.	The Desert Sun	May 20, 2005	Cities send teams to Vegas for shopping centers convention
117.	Detroit Free Press	May 20, 2005	luxury suite in a Vegas hotel
118.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 20, 2005	especially being from Vegas,
119.	Pasadena Star-News	May 20, 2005	Vegas hotelier, Steve Wynn
120.	Sacramento Bee	May 20, 2005	\$1 million jackpot in Vegas
121.	Springfield News-Leader	May 20, 2005	flew 10 family member to Vegas for the ceremony.
122.	Sun-Sentinel	May 20, 2005	two- or three-day junket to Vegas.
123.	The Vancouver Sun British Columbia	May 20, 2005	A Vegas news paper reports
124.	backstage.com	May 19, 2005	They have theatre in Vegas!
125.	Birmingham Post	May 19, 2005	I became a headliner in Vegas
126.	The Denver Post	May 19, 2005	that references poker in Vegas.

	Publication	Date	Uses
127.	The Denver Post	May 19, 2005	it's not like playing the slots in Vegas
128.	The News & Observer	May 19, 2005	she's a fixture in Vegas,
129.	The Post-Standard	May 19, 2005	to be able to go to Vegas
130.	Toledo Blade	May 19, 2005	produced a Vegas show
131.	Western Morning News	May 19, 2005	at one of his Vegas shows:
132.	Albuquerque Journal	May 18, 2005	well, it's Vegas.
133.	Associated Press	May 18, 2005	will have 57 meetings in Vegas with retailers
134.	Birmingham Evening Mail	May 18, 2005	and went to Vegas on the spur of the moment.
135	Cablefax Daily	May 18, 2005	aired live from Vegas last night
136.	The Capital Times	May 18, 2005	"escort service" operator in Vegas.
137.	Daily News	May 18, 2005	an annulled marriage in Vegas.
138.	Detroit Free Press	May 18, 2005	luxury suit in a Vegas hotel
139.	THE O'REILLY FACTOR	May 18, 2005	I ran into him, Belinda, in Vegas
	TV Show	8:37 pm EST	
140.	Fresno Bee	May 18, 2005	How far to Vegas?
141.	The Hollywood Reporter	May 18, 2005	It's Vegas, baby, for Greenberg Traurig
142.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 18, 2005	Urban wasn't in Vegas to Celebrate
143.	TODAY	May 18, 2005	Fun playing poker with you in
	TV Show, NBC	7:00 am EST	Vegas, too.
144.	The New York Post	May 18, 2005	enjoyed a memorable two weeks in Vegas.
145.	Philadelphia Daily News	May 18, 2005	Cole plans to move to Vegas
146.	Philadelphia Daily News	May 18, 2005	I've had most of my fights in Vegas
147.	Reno Gazette-Journal	May 18, 2005	Those Vegas schools are really solid.
148.	San Antonio Express-News	May 18, 2005	And all the other hotels in Vegas.
149.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 18, 2005	But Vegas id different.
150.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 18, 2005	This is Vegas, after all.
151.	The Santa Fe New Mexican	May 18, 2005	high-concept restaurants enter the region especially in Vegas.
152.	The Seattle Times	May 18, 2005	a rock star destined for Vegas,
153.	The Tennessean	May 18, 2005	ACM finds itself after 40 years, move to Vegas,
154.	The Tennessean	May 18, 2005	he apologized for not being in Vegas
155.	The Tennessean	May 18, 2005	on his tour bus, which wheeled everybody to Vegas.
156.	CNN LARRY KING LIVE TV Show	May 17, 2005	Why has Donald Trump not come to Vegas until Now?

	Publication	Date	Use
157.	Cox News Service	My 17, 2005	and hotel expenses that come
			with staying in Vegas
158.	The Denver Post	May 17, 2005	,,, Silhouette dancing (a hit in
			Vegas),
159.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 17, 2005	free tickets to the July 2 'Vegas
			Rocks 100' outdoor concert
160.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 17, 2005	Vegas hosts annual recognition
			show
161.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 17, 2005	The festival also spotlights several
			Vegas-themed features
162.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 17, 2005	Vegas is a great defensive team,
163.	The Macon Telegraph	May 17, 2005	like a weekend in Vegas.
164.	The Miami Herald	May 17, 2005	Marlins ownership was hob-
			nobbing in Vegas
165.	The New York Times	May 17, 2005	and even later nights in Vegas.
166.	Anchorage Daily News	May 16, 2005	and attend those events in
			Vegas.
167.	Broadcasting and cable	May 16, 2005	Party @ The Palms showcases
			revelry at the Vegas
168	Buffalo News	May 16, 2005	As they say in Vegas
169.	Business Week	May 16, 2005	Having bought and sold two Vegas
			casinos
170.	Charlotte Observer	May 16, 2005	If it's OK to go to Vegas to do it,
171.	Dayton Daily News	May 16, 2005	and she moved to Ames, lowa -
			from Vegas
172.	HFN The Weekly Newspaper for	May 16, 2005	transplanted Unica to Vegas
	the Home Furnishing Network		several years ago
173.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 16, 2005	for her painting 'A Little Bit of
			Vegas.'
174.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 16, 2005	we thought, Vegas being the
			Extreme eye-candy town it is
175.	TODAY	May 16, 2005	Happy birthday, Vegas.
	TV Show - NBC	7:00 am EST	

VOLUME II

	Publication	Date	Use
176.	People	May 16, 2005	the couple were married in
			Vegas
177.	People	May 16, 2005	had a girls' weekend in Vegas
178.	Plain Dealer	May 16, 2005	whether it's gambling in Vegas or on the stock market.
179.	The Providence Journal	May 16, 2005	Mora will face Manfredo in Vegas.

	Publication	Date	Use .
180.	The Tennessean	May 16, 2005	wear "We're in Vegas" like
			insurance convention attendees
			wear "Hello, my name is"
181.	Time Magazine	May 16, 2005	to a former Vegas show girl
182.	Bicycle Retailer and Industry News	May 15,2005	"What happens in Vegas stays in Vegas:
183.	The Boston Globe	May 15, 2005	While major Vegas shows usually
184.	Buffalo News	May 15, 2005	Athletics could put baseball in Vegas by
185.	Detroit Free Press	May 15, 2005	you can ride some of the most thrilling coasters in Vegas
186.	They Myrtle Beach Sun-News	May 15, 2005	Vegas is certainly big for us, and there are some destination out West
187.	The New York Times	May 15, 2005	events for which the wealthy Vegas visitors
188.	Newsday	May 15, 2005	when I was busy working in Vegas.
189.	The Record	May 15, 2005	You get to Vegas and you look around
190.	The Record	May 15, 2005	Reality is what Vegas says it is
191.	Salt Lake Tribune	May 15, 2005	The Beatles played Vegas
192.	DOLANS UNSCRIPTED TV Show - CNN	May 14, 2005	slot machines are the most popular game in Vegas
193.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 14, 2005	Vegas is getting big, but it's still like a small town.
194.	Milwaukee Journal Sentinel	May 14, 2005	I was in Vegas, and it took over.
195.	The Post-Standard	May 14, 2005	Vegas firm targets casino for Buffalo's outer harbor
196.	The Times Union	May 14, 2005	We've been to Vegas, Chicago.
197.	The Associated Press	May 13, 2005	extorting Celine Dion's husband in Vegas
198.	The Boston Herald	May 13, 2005	What's built in Vegas stays in Vegas.
199.	Albuquerque Tribune	May 12, 2005	Then there's the body discovered outside of Vegas.
200.	Albuquerque Journal	May 12, 2005	I love my state, but when you fight in Vegas you get that exposure
201.	Albuquerque Journal	May 12, 2005	football or basketball teams are required to travel to Vegas
202.	Arkansas Democrat-Gazette	May 12, 2005	we'd have a job in Vegas tomorrow.
203.	Business Wire	May 12, 2005	as part of the BV Vineyard to Vegas tour.
204.	The Dallas Morning News	May 12, 2005	We're going to Vegas and you're coming with us.
205.	The Express	May 12, 2005	She is no Britney Spears deciding to get married in Vegas on a whim.

	Publication		Use 3
206.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 12, 2005	Howard Hughes during his mania-
<u></u>	<u> </u>		filled Vegas years.
207.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 12, 2005	none of the glamour that drove
			Old Vegas.
208.	Los Angeles Times	May 12, 2005	A slammin' club is now a must for
ļ			Vegas hotels
209.	SCARBOROUGH COUNTRY	May 12, 2005	She ran away, went to Vegas.
	TV Show - MSNBC	10:00 pm	
		EST	
210	The Myrtle Beach Sun-News	May 12, 2005	Thursday Vegas Get-Away
211.	TALK OF THE NATION	May 12, 2005	I enjoy Vegas.
	Radio Show - NPR	3:00 am EST	
212.	TALK OF THE NATION	May 12, 2005	Vegas was going through one of its
	Radio Show - NPR	2:00 am EST	bust periods
213.	The Orange County Register	May 12, 2005	20 minutes down the road from
			Vegas
214.	The San Diego Union-Tribune	May 12, 2005	The Tide went 3-1 in Vegas
215.	South China Morning Post	May 12, 2005	at the slots one night in Vegas.
216.	The Sports Network	May 12, 2005	the biggest concentration in
	·		downtown Vegas.
217.	Tampa Tribune	May 12, 2005	who will head to Vegas this
	,		weekend
218.	Winston-Salem Journal	May 12, 2005	You have a better chance in Vegas
		'	than you do farming.
219.	FDCH Political Transcripts	May 12, 2005	Re Social Security reform lose it in
	•	, , , , , ,	Vegas.
220.	Chicago Tribune	May 11, 2005	to reveal details about that time in
		,	Vegas when
221.	Cleveland Scene	May 11, 2005	and becoming a dancer in Vegas?
222.	CNN CROSSFIRE	May 11, 2005	what happens in Vegas should
	TV Show - CNN	4:30 pm EST	stay in Vegas.
223.	Cox News Service	May 11, 2005	Chavez also left Vegas with a torn
		, ,	rotator cuff
224.	Cox News Service	May 11, 2005	he feels more like Vegas,
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	may 11, 2000	projecting a sort of Redneck Rat
			Pack image
225.	Daily Star	May 11, 2005	The childhood pas wed in Vegas
226.	Desert Morning News	May 11, 2005	,,, it's still about the only thing in
220.	Doore Morning Nows	way 11, 2005	Vegas I'll bet on.
227.	FD Wire	May 11, 2005	Then there's a nine nights' stays at
LL1.	1.5 11110	Way 11, 2000	one of the hotels in Vegas
228.	Geelong Advertiser	May 11, 2005	We went to Vegas for the final round
220.	Geolony Auvertiser	IVIAY 11, 2003	we went to vegas for the final round
229.	The Journal News	May 11 2005	There's even a helf-iron in Vacca
229.	THE JOURNAL NEWS	May 11, 2005	There's even a half-iron in Vegas
220	Comtox Nous Naturals Inc	May 44, 2005	now
230.	Comtex News Network, Inc.	May 11, 2005	with new businesses and that
			created excitement in Vegas.

	Publication	Date	Use
231.	Los Angeles Times	May 11, 2005	which, in Vegas time, might as well have been the 1850s.
232.	The Miami Herald	May 11, 2005	Sunday, Vegas turns 100 years old.
233	DATELINE NBC	May 11, 2005	Did they kill a Vegas Millionaire
	TV Show - NBC	8:00 am EST	
234.	The News & Observer	May 11, 2005	Folks are going to Vegas
235.	The News-Press	May 11, 2005	but that's not enough for Vegas
236.	Ottawa Citizen	May 11, 2005	At the Vegas run we're putting
227	Dalm Banch Bant	May 11 2005	together
237.	Palm Beach Post	May 11, 2005	saying they're too much like
220	Dhiladalahia Dailu Nawa	May 11 2005	Vegas-style gambling machines.
238.	Philadelphia Daily News	May 11, 2005	of dollars from a Vegas strip club
220	Diverse Times	May 11 2005	owner.
239.	Riverfront Times	May 11, 2005	and go see this Vegas virgin
240.	The San Diego Union-Tribune	May 11, 2005	A lot of us go down to Vegas quite a few times
241.	SF Weekly	May 11, 2005	that belongs at a Vegas wedding?
242.	St. Petersburg Times	May 11, 2005	You judge free trips to Vegas,
243.	The Toronto Sun	May 11, 2005	watching from a sports book in
2.0.	The reserve sur		Vegas,
244.	The Toronto Sun	May 11, 2005	will be held in Vegas for Celtic
			backers.
245.	CNN.com	May 11, 2005	Prosecutors say Chao went to Vegas
		,,	on a desperate bid
246.	adweek.com	May 10, 2005	with any of the big new hotels in
		, , ,	Vegas.
247.	Chicago Tribune	May 10, 2005	Weekend Marriage in Vegas
248.	Citywire	May 10, 2005	Vegas consulting business had a
240	City a visco	May 10, 2005	very strong year
249.	Citywire	May 10, 2005	ManU goes to Vegas
250.	CNN LARRY KING LIVE	May 10, 2005	Brad and I did a gig together in
254	TV Show	9:00 pm EST	Vegas.
251.	Daily News	May 10, 2005	I booked myself a standup gig at the
252	FD Wire	May 10, 2005	Riviera her in Vegas.
252.	FD vvire	May 10, 2005	weather-related delays in Arizona,
252	Fort Morth Stor Tologram	May 10, 2005	Vegas, and some other areas
253.	Fort Worth Star Telegram	May 10, 2005	Including that crazy 55-hour
251	Loo Vogos Povious Issued	May 10, 2005	marriage in Vegas
254.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 10, 2005	If Vegas slacks off, stocks may go
OFF.	Las Vasas Bariani Januari	May 10, 2005	down
255.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 10, 2005	You look at us, you look at Coronado,
256	The Miemi Hereld	May 10, 2005	you look at Vegas
256.	The Miami Herald	May 10, 2005	a show currently housed only in
257	Mantana	May 40 0005	Vegas and Orlando
257.	Monterey County Herald	May 10, 2005	I feel like I'm in Vegas when I hear
258.	TALK OF THE NATION	May 20, 2005	met anybody who could beat the
	Radio Show - NPR	2:00 am EST	game in Vegas?

	Publication	Date	Use
259.	Philadelphia Daily News	May 10, 2005	Boxing writers dinner brings out the
200	DO Marria 110	40.0005	stars in Vegas
260.	PR Newswire US	May 10, 2005	100 Hours in Vegas Package
261.	Primedia Insight	May 10, 2005	fixed wireless broadband service
262	Linia and the IAC	10.0005	in the Vegas market.
262.	University Wire	May 10, 2005	I'll be in Vegas next week
263.	The Washington Post	May 10, 2005	as a Joan Rivers impersonator in Vegas since 1985.
264.	The Western Mail	May 10, 2005	Here they are again, this time kissing
		ay 75, 2500	in Vegas.
265.	zaptoit	May 10, 2005	As I've always said, don't take me to
			Vegas.
266.	adweek.com	May 9, 2005	What happens in Vegas, stays in
			Vegas.
267.	Akron Beacon Journal	May 9, 2005	This place has a Vegas feel to it;
268.	The Associated Press	May 9, 2005	It's mor laid-back here than in Vegas,
269.	Belleville News-Democrat	May 9, 2005	Back in Vegas, she even went back
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	to work
270.	Birmingham Post	May 9, 2005	Selfridges is having a Vegas
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Supernova three weeks
271.	Network World	May 9, 2005	To say they're bullish might be the
:	·		safest bet in Vegas.
272.	The Daily Oklahoman	May 9, 2005	Vegas is so cutthroat
273.	Daily Deal/The Deal	May 9, 2005	But for all of Macau's potential to rival
			Vegas
274.	Daily News Record	May 9, 2005	influx of international clientele and
			the booming Vegas economy as
			motivations
275.	Daily News Record	May 9, 2005	or The Exclusive in Vegas and it's
			obvious that while U.S. companies
	•		shine in upper-moderate to better
			men's wear
276.	Daily Variety	May 9, 2005	shields the casino from the rest of
			Vegas.
277.	eWeek	May 9, 2005	the fun he could have with such an
			easily concealed videocam in Vegas
278.	Forbes	May 9, 2005	a compulsive gambler waylaid en
075			route to Vegas.
279.	Furniture Today	May 9, 2005	Bedding majors flock to Vegas
280.	Furniture Today	May 9, 2005	World Market Center in Vegas.
281.	The Hotline	May 9, 2005	What happens in Vegas
282.	The Hotline	May 9, 2005	The Asylum, DC Thornton,
			Michelle Malkin, A kiwi in Vegas,
			Keeler Political Report,
283.	InfoWorld	May 9, 2005	What happens in Vegas stays in
			Vegas

	Publication	Date	Use
284.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 9, 2005	Especially here in Vegas, we're going away from glass-topped tables to stone
285.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 9, 2005	environment and conservation issues during its Vegas visit.
286.	Los Angeles Times	May 9, 2005	,,, is crammed with trucks and commuters, not Vegas-bound tourists.
287.	Los Angeles Times	May 9, 2005	what happens in Vegas stays in Vegas.
288.	Maclean's	May 9, 2005	was married for a few hours in Vegas before later marrying a backup dancer.
289.	Maclean's	May 9, 2005	I came back from a week in Vegas
290.	Milwaukee Journal Sentinel	May 9, 2005	It stands to reason, though that the Killers formed in Vegas
291.	National Law Review	May 9, 2005	,,, what happens in Vegas winds up at the Trademark Office.
292.	Omaha World-Herald	May 9, 2005	the biggest story of the weedend in Vegas.
293.	People	May 9, 2005	At a recent Vegas convention, the stood far apart,
294.	PR Week	May 9, 2005	As Vegas enjoys a continuing economic boom
295.	PR Newswire US	May 9, 2005	But Vegas is not just for grown-ups.
296.	PR Newswire US	May 9, 2005	some of the great insights that these Vegas zealots impart.
297.	The Sports Network	May 9, 2005	All in all, it was a great Derby for Vegas race books.
298.	Sports Illustrated	May 9, 2005	I went into a little tattoo joint in Vegas run by a guy
299.	Star Tribune	May 9, 2005	When you do a show about Vegas, you can do it about almost anything
300.	Telegraph Herald	May 9, 2005	It can be short: " Went to Vegas. Will call."
301.	Time Magazine	May 9, 2005	exudes an anti-Vegas, almost Buddhist quietude.
302.	The Times	May 9, 2005	Romance is an odd commodity for a
	London		town like Vegas
303.	CNN.com	May 9, 2005	during "a crazy weekend in Vegas: and shooting on location is
304.	Sunday News	May 8, 2005	Bowl your way to Vegas, Have a Ball
305.	GOOD MORNING AMERICA TV Show - ABC	May 8, 2005 8:00 am ET	And so they got together in Vegas and we'll show you
306.	Akron Beacon Journal	May 8, 2005	and enjoyed the Vegas atmosphere, especially BINGO.

	D. Willestia	.	
307.	Publication The Atlanta Journal-Constitution	Date May 8, 2005	Use
			Back in Vegas, she even went back to work
308.	Biloxi Sun Herald	May 8, 2005	are as common as cabs in places like Vegas,
309.	Biloxi Sun Herald	May 8, 2005	call off the nuptials rather than hop a bus to Vegas,
310.	The Boston Herald	May 8, 2005	to compete live on MTV's "TRL" in Vegas.
311.	The Boston Herald	May 8, 2005	I'm going to go out to Vegas and Marcus is going to come out to Vegas
312.	Chicago Tribune	May 8, 2005	the latest example of a Vegas gone vogue.
313.	The Clarion-Ledger	May 8, 2005	when Sammy Davis Jr. could perform in Vegas
314.	The Commercial Appeal	May 8, 2005	If I'm in his shoes and Vegas calls and says
315.	Daily Star	May 8, 2005	Mike will help Vegas celebrate its 100th anniversary
316.	Daily Star	May 8, 2005	The injured were taken to a Vegas hospital
317.	The Dallas Morning News	May 8, 2005	if the groom had run to Vegas instead of the bride.
318.	The Denver Post	May 8, 2005	in the cool lighting that reminds me of Vegas
319.	Herald News	May 8, 2005	rather than hop a bus to Vegas
320.	The Honolulu Advertiser	May 8, 2005	The Vegas Family Vacation offers a resort
321.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 8, 2005	has always been part of the Vegas visitors' menu
322.	The Leaf-Chronicle	May 8, 2005	to live on as the names of Vegas hotels
323.	The Miami Herald	May 8, 2005	Lady Luck's May of Vegas
324.	The Miami Herald	May 8, 2005	With Vegas-style Class III gambling
325.	Monterey County Herald	May 8, 2005	passed the time on a chartered plane to Vegas

VOLUME III

	Publication	Date	Use
326.	News & Record	May 8, 2005`	hopped on a bus to Vegas and then Albuquerque
327.	The Observer	May 8, 2005	that no self-respecting Vegas casino would be seen dead with one.

200	Publication	Date	Use
328.	The Observer	May 8, 2005	of a month of Vegas-themed celebrations
329.	Palm Beach Post	May 8, 2005	Boyd keeps the Vegas time-share
330.	Palm Beach Post	May 8, 2005	The Marlins have several Vegas connections.
331.	Palm Beach Post	May 8, 2005	glide from Georgia to Vegas and beyond?
332.	The People	May 8, 2005	should be topping the bill in Vegas
333.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 8, 2005	Puerto Morelos is Vegas compared to Akumal.
334.	Pittsburgh Post-Gazette	May 8, 2005	the cash register lit up like the Vegas Strip.
335.	Roanoke Times & World News	May 8, 2005	To prepare for the Vegas venture
336.	Saint Paul Pioneer Press	May 8, 2005	are as common as cabs in places like Vegas
337.	San Bernardino Sun	May 8, 2005	at the Baker to Vegas race
338.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 8, 2005	the start of our weekend in Vegas
339.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 8, 2005	In Vegas these days, women touch.
340.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 8, 2005	what happens in Vegas might well go home with me,
341.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 8, 2005	What didn't stay in Vegas was me.
342.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 8, 2005	Vegas, Anyone?
343.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 8, 2005	but the culture of Vegas is amorphous.
344.	The Santa Fe New Mexican	May 8, 2005	and shops and does Vegas, too.
345.	St. Louis Post-Dispatch	May 8, 2005	we are like gamblers in Vegas.
346.	St. Petersburg Times	May 8, 2005	Back in Vegas
347.	St. Petersburg Times	May 8, 2005	Joe Torre has a one-way ticket to Vegas yet?
348.	The State	May 8, 2005	CSI franchise - the one set in Vegas
349.	Sun-Sentinel	May 8, 2005	a threat to wreak havoc at Vegas casinos
350.	The Sunday People	May 8, 2005	should be topping the bill in Vegas
351.	Sunday Tribune	May 8, 2005	the most expensive casino that Vegas or anywhere else has seen.
352.	The Sunday Herald	May 8, 2005	the great British boxing dream in Vegas
353.	Ventura County Star	May 8, 2005	dabbles in the bloated Vegas version so memorable to people
354.	Ventura County Star	May 8, 2005	and glide from Georgia to Vegas and beyond?
355.	Intelligencer Journal	May 7, 2005	By the time Texter left Lancaster for Vegas

	Publication	Date	Use
356.	The Associated Press	May 7, 2005	If I'm in his shoes and Vegas calls
357.	The Atlanta Journal-Constitution	May 7, 2005	What happens in Vegas stays in Vegas
358.	Birmingham Evening Mail	May 7, 2005	Vegas is trying to put forward other reasons to enjoy 'Sin City'.
359.	Birmingham Evening Mail	May 7, 2005	It's only a 40-minute flight away from Vegas
360.	Buffalo News	May 7, 2005	he might win a free trip to Vegas
361.	Charleston Gazette	May 7, 2005	Will Marlins move to Vegas?
362.	Chicago Daily Herald	May 7, 2005	We've been to Vegas and Portland
363.	Contra Costa Times	May 7, 2005	makes me feel like I'm in Vegas
364.	Cox News Service	May 7, 2005	Back in Vegas
365.	The Daily Journal	May 7, 2005	while she was in Vegas.
366.	Daily Record	May 7, 2005	she didn't run off to Vegas to marry him.
367.	Daily Record	May 7, 2005	and heard good things about Vegas at the Renfrew Ferry.
368.	The Daily Telegraph London	May 7, 2005	threatens to stop Vegas's long- term expansion
369.	Daily Record	May 7, 2005	she didn't run off to Vegas to marry him
370.	The Express	May 7, 2005	Think Hollywood, not Vegas
371.	Fort Collins Coloradoan	May 7, 2005	The Equine Center will be transformed into a Vegas hotel lobby.
372.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 7, 2005	There are very few viable markets, and Vegas is the strongest
373.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 7, 2005	(the) first airplane that landed in Vegas had a paying passenger
374.	The Mirror	May 7, 2005	100 vintage aircraft flying over the Vegas strip
375.	The Mirror	May 7, 2005	when everyone else has gone to Vegas.
376.	The Oregonian	May 7, 2005	just price the tickets to Vegas instead.
377.	Plain Dealer	May 7, 2005	And after she jetted to Vegas with the singer
378.	Sacramento Bee	May 7, 2005	it makes me feel like I'm in Vegas
379.	The Sun	May 7, 2005	then flew her to Vegas and back on his private jet.
380.	The Sun	May 7, 2005	fell asleep on the blanket - and dreamt of Vegas.
381.	Associated Press Online	May 7, 2005	If I'm in his shoes and Vegas calls
382.	Albuquerque Journal	May 6, 2005	Rams wrestlers compete in Vegas
383.	Anchorage Daily News	May 6, 2005	sort of price gouging from Vegas ATMs

	Publication	Date .	Use
384.	Anchorage Daily News	May 6, 2005	took the money to Vegas to pay
	The state of the s	, , , , , ,	off a heroin debt.
385.	The Arizona Republic	May 6, 2005	because in Vegas, even a suit has
	•		to look sexy
386.	The Associated Press	May 6, 2005	If I'm in his shoes and Vegas calls
387.	The Boston Herald	May 6, 2005	Tommy Lee in Vegas, baby, then
			accompanied Pamela Anderson's ex
388.	BPI Entertainment News Wire	May 6, 2005	Elvis was such a presence in
		, .	Vegas
389.	Business Day	May 6, 2005	keeping a watchful eye on the
	South Africa	,	Vegas market
390.	Chicago Tribune	May 6, 2005	pageant pals are kidnapped in
		, .	Vegas
391.	Chicago Sun-Times	May 6, 2005	catch a bus to Vegas, go on to
	3	, ,	Albuquerque
392.	AMERICAN MORNING	May 6,2005	a museum with weird stuff in
	TV Show - CNN	7:00 am EST	Vegas
393.	Contra Costa Times	May 6, 2005	makes me feel like I'm in Vegas
394.	Cox News Service	May 6, 2005	glide from Georgia to Vegas and
			beyond
395.	Daily News	May 6, 2005	entourage on his private plane to
			Vegas.
396.	The Dallas Morning News	May 6, 2005	and move a date to Vegas.
397.	Birmingham Post and Mail Ltd	May 6, 2005	is staging a Vegas Supernova
	Evening Mail	•	promotion until May 15.
398.	Fort Worth Star Telegram	May 6, 2005	was spotted in Vegas by always-
			trim Jessica Alba
399.	The Hollywood Reporter	May 6, 2005	Elvis was such a presence in
			Vegas
400.	The Hotline	May 6, 2005	What happens in Vegas only
,	:		happens in Vegas
401.	The Miami Herald	May 6, 2005	Beyond that, the wooers from Vegas
402.	COUNTDOWN	May 6, 2005	and hop on a bus to Vegas
	TV Show - MSNBC	8:00 pm EST	
403.	THE ABRAMS REPORT	May 6, 2005	writes about the fact that she went
	TV Shoe - MSNBC	6:00 pm EST	to Vegas.
404.	DAY TO DAY	May 6, 2005	49 percent of all the rooms on the
	Radio Show - NPR	4:00 am EST	Vegas Strip.
405.	The New York Times	May 6, 2005	Forced to dress like a Vegas cocktail
			waitress
406.	The News-Press	May 6,	Vegas lounge lizards
	ŕ	2005	
407.	Newsday	May 6, 2005	as a campy Vegas variety show
408.	Orlando Sentinel	May 6, 2005	who come there to Osceola's
l		•	version of the Vegas Strip
409.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 6, 2005	was spotted in Vegas by

	Publication	Date	Use
410.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 6, 2005	doesn't consider it a road trip if
			Vegas isn't a stop
411.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 6, 2005	Forget Vegas; this time the hip
			Ocean cats heads to Europe
412.	Reno Gazette-Journal	May 6, 2005	Can Vegas support an MLB team?
413.	Reno Gazette-Journal	May 6, 2005	a new singer before shows in
			Vegas and Texas this summer.
414.	Rochester Democrat and	May 6, 2005	Everyone know the story about
	Chronicle		whatever happens in Vegas.
415.	Salon.com	May 6, 2005	a quick trip to Vegas would do the
			trick
416.	The San Diego Union-Tribune	May 6, 2005	either by the Vegas gamblers
			grabbing for his
417.	San Jose Mercury News	May 6, 2005	I feel like I'm in Vegas
418.	The Sporting News	May 6, 2005	Take it to Vegas
419.	Springfield News-Leader	May 6, 2005	a landmark of the old Vegas strip
420.	St. Petersburg Times	May 6, 2005	Maybe Vegas can't handle a major-
			league franchise.
421.	St. Petersburg Times	May 6, 2005	at least 11 trips to Vegas since
			1990
422.	The State	May 6, 2005	Bullet train to Vegas
423.	WWD	May 6, 2005	Response to the latest Vegas store
424.	Wyoming Tribune-Eagle	May 6, 2005	In Vegas he worked on murals
425.	Austin American-Statesman	May 5, 2005	but the fear is that Vegas' big
120.		, ,	airport
426.	backstage.com	May 5, 2005	hopes to tour throughout Vegas
		,	with the production.
427.	backstage.com	May 5, 2005	of local plays winding up Vegas
			runs this week.
428.	Charleston Daily Mail	May 5, 2005	But normal is running off to Vegas.
429.	Charlotte Observer	May 5, 2005	held beliefs about Vegas is that
			gangster
230.	Chicago Sun-Times	May 5, 2005	Will she use its magic to win big in
			Vegas?
431.	The Commercial Appeal	May 5, 2005	her impromptu trip to Vegas.
432.	Copley News Service	May 5, 2005	What happens in Vegas goes here
433.	The Courier-Journal	May 5, 2005	I like Vegas. I like the Eagles
434.	Daily News	May 5, 2005	We're going to Vegas in July.
435.	Daily News	May 5, 2005	were all over each other last
			weekend in Vegas
436.	Daily News	May 5, 2005	spending too much time in Vegas
437.	The Dallas Morning News	May 5, 2005	why not take a trip to Vegas.
438.	The Dallas Morning News	May 5, 2005	Vegas rule

	Publication	Date	Use
439.	Dallas Observer	May 5, 2005	a restaurant called Olives [New
			York, Boston, Aspen, Tokyo, D.C.,
110	FD Mina	M 5 2005	Vegas]
440.	FD Wire	May 5, 2005	network quite like ours in Vegas
441.	THE O'REILLY FACTOR	May 5, 2005	The media made her go to Vegas?
442	TV Show - Fox	8:48 pm EST	What barrana in Vasca case to
442.	The Hotline	May 5, 2005	What happens in Vegas goes to Lowdown
443.	The Independent	May 5, 2005	Brit doe rather well in Vegas
444.	The Kansas City Star	May 5, 2005	is a story of an impromptu Vegas
			wedding
445.	Lansing State Journal	May 5, 2005	travel to Detroit or take trips to
			Vegas or Miami
446.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 5, 2005	what happens in Vegas stretches
			all the way into White Pine County
447.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 5, 2005	hopes to be part of a Vegas-based
			TV show
448.	Legal Week	May 5, 2005	of the overall scale of the Vegas-
		•	style casinos
449.	Los Angeles Times	May 5, 2005	3-day bash in Vegas
450.	The Miami Herald	May 5, 2005	I'd ever take them with me to
		•	Vegas.
451.	THE MONTEL WILLIAMS SHOW	May 5, 2005	Yeah, it's about that Vegas thing.
į	TV Show - SYND	5:00 am EST	
452.	SCARBOROUGH COUNTRY	May 5, 2005	what happened in Vegas would
	TV Show - MSNBC	10:00 pm	stay in Vegas.
		EST	
<i>45</i> 3.	The Myrtle Beach Sun-News	May 5, 2005	Thursday Vegas Getaway
454.	The New York Times	May 5, 2005	Most defenders in Vegas
		•	encouraged.
455.	The New York Post	May 5, 2005	the new Vegas branch of Hogs &
		• •	Heifers man land
456.	The News & Observer	May 5, 2005	horse and dog tracks with Vegas-
		, ,	style slot machines
457.	The Orange County Register	May 5, 2005	He came home from Vegas a winner
478.	Pittsburgh Post-Gazette	May 5, 2005	I don't know what the odds were in
		, -,	Vegas , but
459.	Plain Dealer	May 5, 2005	part of a series on "Breaking
100.		,,, ,, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Vegas."
450.	The Providence Journal	May 5,2005	It comes out that you stopped in
.00.	The French Court and	may 0,2000	Vegas
461.	Rochester Democrat and	May 5, 2005	a Cirque du Soleil performance in
	Chronicle		Vegas.
462.	Scripps Howard News Service	May 5, 2005	It comes out that you stopped in
102.	Co. Apportant revision vice	141dy 0, 2000	Vegas
- 1			
463.	The Sports Network	May 5, 2005	at the Plaza in downtown Vegas

	Publication	Date	Use
464	Star News	May 5, 2005	on a conference call while we
			were in Vegas
465.	Time-Picayune	May 5, 2005	and hop a bus to Vegas?
466.	Tulsa World	May 5, 2005	which became the Aladdin Hotel
			on the Vegas Strip.
467.	University Wire	May 5, 2005	Most of her time in Vegas was spent
			hanging around the Greyhound
400	The Associated Description	14 0005	station.
468.	The Associated Press State &	May 4, 2005	a trip to Vegas isn't complete
160	Local Wire	May 4 2005	without seeing the Hoover Dam
469.	The Atlanta-Journal-Constitution	May 4, 2005	bus fare for two to Vegas and
470.	The Australian	May 4, 2005	Albuquerque.
470.	The Baltimore Sun	May 4, 2005	She spent some time in Vegas,
471.	Charlotte Observer	May 4, 2005 May 4, 2005	Honeymoon in Vegas: took a Greyhound to Vegas and
4/2.	Chanotte Observer	Way 4, 2005	ended up on a pay phone
473.	Cleveland Scene	May 4, 2005	The glammy big beat of Vegas and
4,5.	Olevelana deene	Way 4, 2005	Tweekend
474.	SHOWBIZ TONIGHT	May 4, 2005	She ended up in Vegas anyway,
	TV Show - CNN	7:00 pm EST	right?
		p 201	1 right.
475.	Cox News Service	May 4, 2005	the Vegas market is akin to an evil
			empire
476.	Daily News	May 4, 2005	Two days before, Vegas spies had
			claimed
477.	THE O'REILLY FACTOR	May 4, 2005	In a Vegas casino, no problem.
	TV Show - FOX	8:55 pm EST	
478.	Comtex News Network	May 4, 2005	are arranged to coincide with the
			NAB show in Vegas.
479.	The Miami Herald	May 4, 2005	Vegas needs a stadium
480.	The News & Observer	May 4, 2005	world championship camp with his
404		1 0007	performance in Vegas.
481.	News & Record	May 4, 2005	high tailed it to Vegas and
400	Dhiladalahia Dailu Nassa	Mar. 4, 2005	concocted that despicable story
482.	Philadelphia Daily News	May 4, 2005	Sunday-school teacher was
			reported by sources in Vegas as
483.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 4, 2005	having blown her money Greyhound bus in Georgia bound
4 03.	т тпачетртна ттүшгег	Way 4, 2000	for Vegas.
484.	Pittsburgh Post-Gazette	May 4, 2005	Impressionists in Vegas
485.	The San Francisco Chronicle	May 4, 2005	faux kidnapping and solo
700.	The Gail Francisco Officiale	1410y 7, 2000	honeymoon in Vegas cost taxpayers
486.	Scripps Howard News Service	May 4, 2005	I won in Vegas putting a different
•	,,	, .,	way.
487.	The Seattle Post-Intelligencer	May 4, 2005	Do shop in on your next Vegas trip.

	Publication	Date	Use
488.	The Sports Network	May 4, 2005	which as a post time of 2:45 p.m.
			in Vegas
489.	Star Tribune	May 4, 2005	She's asked what would be best for
			going to Vegas
490.	Sun-Sentinel	May 4, 2005	says White Sox outfielder Aaron
		,	Rowand, another Vegas product.
491.	UPI	May 4, 2005	British 'poker tourist' wins big in
			Vegas
492.	Winston-Salem Journal	May 4, 2005	I won in Vegas putting a different
			way.
493.	The Associated Press	May 3, 2005	And yes, you can get married in
			Vegas
494.	Business Wire	May 3, 2005	the BV Vineyard to Vegas tour
495.	CNN DAYBREAK	May 3, 2005	Atlanta to Vegas, but ticket, 162
	TV Show - CNN	5:00 am EST	bucks.
496.	Daily News	May 3, 2005	on a chartered plane to Vegas
497.	The Dallas Morning News	May 3, 2005	such as hopping a bus to Vegas
498.	Dayton Daily News	May 3, 2005	who didn't know Dayton-Vegas is
			a domestic flight.
499.	Eureka Times-Standard	May 3, 2005	played professionally in my 20s
			and 30s in Vegas.
500.	FD Wire	May 3, 2005	and we anticipate unparalleled
			results leaving Vegas.

VOLUME IV

·	Publication	Date	Use
501.	Greenwire	May 3, 2005	Development deal on Vegas' 61 acres
502.	Los Angeles Times	May 3, 2005	Built by Vegas impresario Steve Wynn
503.	Marin Independent Journal	May 3, 2005	She spent some time in Vegas
504.	Market Wire	May 3, 2005	its Vegas-style applications are sweeping the nation.
505.	The Miami Herald	May 3, 2005	She spent some time in Vegas
506.	Milwaukee Journal Sentinel	May 3, 2005	What happens in Vegas stays in Vegas
507.	Milwaukee Journal Sentinel	May 3, 2005	Even the Vegas stuff I thought was really cool.
508.	Modesto Bee	May 3, 2005	Certainly, those in Vegas would say the odds
509.	The Montgomery Advertiser	May 3, 2005	Maybe I should have headed to Vegas before Talladega.

	Publication	Date	Use
510.	The New York Post	May 3, 2005	Already in Vegas for the opening of
			Steve Wynn's hotel
511.	The New York Post	May 3, 2005	He'd been playing Vegas back in 1982
512.	The Orange County Register	May 3, 2005	at a theater in Vegas
513.	Orlando Sentinel	May 3, 2005	cut my hair and take a bus to
			Vegas in order to find myself.
514.	Philadelphia Daily News	May 3, 2005	she could afford a trip to Vegas
515.	Pittsburgh Post-Gazette	May 3, 2005	call of the nuptials rather than hop a bus to Vegas
516.	PR Newswire	May 3, 2005	These new shows include MAN VS. VEGAS,
517.	Sacramento Bee	May 3, 2005	Certainly, those in Vegas would say the odds are heavily slanted
518.	Scripps Howard News Service	May 3, 2005	call off the nuptials rather than hop a bus to Vegas
519.	St. John's Telegram	May 3, 2005	is often busier than the slots at a
	Newfoundland		Vegas casino.
520.	St. Louis Post-Dispatch	May 3, 2005	See you in Vegas
521.	The Sun	May 3, 2005	While the boys are off on a post-
			season trip to Vegas
522.	Tulsa World	May 3,2005	She chopped off her hair and took a bus to Vegas
523.	The Virginian-Pilot	May 3, 2005	ended up on a Greyhound bus bound for Vegas.
524.	The Washington Post	May 3,2005	At least I didn't hop a bus to Vegas The Wichita Eagle
525.	May 3, 2005	May 3, 2005	Ruffin plans to roll dice in Vegas
526.	Wisconsin State Journal	May 3, 2005	her trips to Laughlin and Vegas with her husband.
527.	WWD	May 3, 2005	Vegas has been a strong market for us
528.	Aberdeen Evening Express	May 3, 2005	for the bright lights of Vegas.
529.	Amusement Business	May 2,2005	It's the show's third year in Vegas.
530.	Amusement Business	May 2, 2005	moved to Mandalay Bay two years ago to embrace the Vegas glitz
531.	The Associated Press	May 2, 2005	who knew Vegas had a Hofbrauhaus?
532.	The Associated Press	May 2, 2005	Impressionists going to Vegas
533.	The Associated Press	May 2,2005	She spent some time in Vegas
534.	Business Wire	May 2, 2005	the Vegas native landed a spin in Black Enterprise Magazine's
535.	Business Wire	May 2, 2005	higher-than-expected traffic to the Vegas listings
536.	Canberra Times	May 2,2005	Why do tourists continue to flock to Vegas
537.	Chicago Sun-Times	May 2, 2005	Knowing Jordan's love of Vegas
	·		

	Publication	Date	Use
538.	PAULA ZAHN NOW	May 2, 2005	and a bus ride to Vegas into
500	TV Show - CNN	8:00 pm EST	unwanted fame.
539.	NANCY GRACE	May 2, 2005	several hours going from Dallas,
	TV Show - CNN	8:00 pm EST	Texas, to Vegas, maybe get her head screwed on straight.
540.	SHOWBIZ TONIGHT	May 2, 2005	Take him to Vegas and elope, bring
	TV Show - CNN	7:00 pm EST	the guy with you.
541.	LIVE FROM	May 2, 2005	had taken off to Las Vegas and
	TV Show - CNN	1:00 pm EST	then Albuquerque by bus.
542.	Crain's Chicago Business	May 2, 2005	We also spent time in Naples (Fla.) and Vegas.
543.	Credit Union Journal	May 2, 2005	Most Vegas casinos have oxygen bars now.
544.	Daily News	May 2, 2005	Dave Foley, who's in Vegas a lot anyway
545.	The Daily News of Los Angeles	May 2, 2005	So long Cher - see you in Vegas.
546.	Daily News Record	May 2, 2005	What happens in Vegas, stays in Vegas.
547.	The Dallas Morning News	May 2, 2005	someone invites you on a trip to Vegas.
548.	Fort Worth Star-Telegram	May 2, 2005	some of the most thrilling coasters in Vegas
549.	FOX ON THE RECORD WITH	May 2, 2005	when she arrived in Vegas and
	GRETA VAN SUSTEREN TV Show - FOX	10:00 pm EST	how long she stayed?
550.	FOX HANNITY & CO	May 2, 2005	she ran off to Vegas.
000.	TV Show - FOX	9:00 pm EST	one van dit to vogaci
551.	THE BIG STORY WITH JOHN GIBSON TV Show - FOX	May 2, 2005 5:57 pm EST	were bopping around Vegas while Greg Kelly
552.	Las Vegas Review-Journal	May 2, 2005	and Vegas wedding chapels
		•	And 'Vegas Baby,' which filmed here
			in January
553.	SCARBOROUGH COUNTRY	May 2, 2005	like leaving your 16 bridesmaids
	TV Show - MSNBC	10:00 pm EST	waiting for you to come back from Vegas.
554.	The New York Post	May 2, 2005	Kilmer berates Broadway for becoming too "Vegas-like."
555.	New York Observer	May 2,2005	The big-band sound of Vegas in the good old days
556.	People	May 2, 2005	When Paris Hilton strutted down a Vegas runway
557.	The Post and Courier	May 2, 2005	Last year, Vegas developer and consino mogul Steve Wynn
558.	Publishers Weekly	May 2, 2005	and his crew pulled off a string of Vegas Heists

	Publication	Date	Use
559.	Publishers Weekly	May 2, 2005	a lot of booze-fueled benders to Vegas and Atlantic City
560.	The Sports Network	May 2, 2005	was coming out to Vegas that next
			weekend
561.	The Sun	May 2, 2005	a game of cards and a bet so Vegas seemed like the obvious destination.
500	Talaviaian Maak	May 2, 2005	Nielsen Entertainment research
562.	Television Week	Way 2, 2005	facility in Vegas' Fashion Show Mass
563.	Virginian-Pilot	May 2, 2005	It was like going to Vegas and putting everything I own on black.
564.	WWD	May 2, 2005	piecing together several Vegas- bound accoutrements.
565.	Sunday News	May 1, 2005	Bowl your way to Vegas,
	GOOD MORNING AMERICA	May 1, 2005	and gets on a bus to Vegas
566.	TV Show - ABC	7:00 am ET	
567.	Architectural Record	May 1, 2005	upping the ante in Vegas for years now.
568.	Baby Talk	May, 2005	forget about taking an impulsive trip to Vegas.
569.	Bismarck Tribune	May 1, 2005	The number of passengers flying to Vegas from Bismarck has nearly tripled.
570.	Birmingham News	May 1, 2005	like autumn leaves to neon blues fit for Vegas after dark.
571.	The Boston Herald	May 1, 2005	odds of this relationship surviving kidnapped-to-Vegas.
572.	The Boston Herald	May 1, 2005	On at least one assignment to Vegas
573.	Chicago Sun-Times	May 1, 2005	he lost a lot of clout with all that heat going on in Vegas
574.	Columbia Journalism Review	May 2005 / June 2005	Meanwhile - let's go with Vegas or Palm Beach or Both
575.	The Commercial Appeal	May 1, 2005	I don't like taking my money to Tunica and Vegas.
576.	Consulting-Specifying Engineer	May 1, 2005	But it's not just Vegas.
577.	Consulting-Specifying Engineer	May 1, 2005	no description of a Vegas fire- protection scheme
578.	The Dallas Morning News	May 1, 2005	someone invites you on a trip to
579.	The Desert Sun	May 1, 2005	Vegas find as much good sin here as in
580.	Detroit Free Press	May 1, 2005	Vegas there are plenty of curious tales about Vegas that sound like urban legends
581.	FSB	May 1, 2005	And what about Vegas?
582.	The Herald-Dispatch	May 1, 2005	Elvis' gospel and Vegas years.

	Publication	Date	Use
500		May 1, 2005	In episode 10, Vegas showgirl Janu
583.	Home News Tribune	Way 1, 2005	Tornell was burnt out
584.	In Style	May, 2005	It was like Vegas, baby
585.	Incentive	May 1, 2005	This month, Vegas is throwing itself a birthday bash
586.	Kiplinger's Retirement Report	May, 2005	as good as anything you're going to see in Vegas,
587.	Kitchen & Bath Business	May 1, 2005	These prizes sill be presented at AWFS Vegas,
588.	Law Vegas Review-Journal	May 1, 2005	Vegas is not a pro sports city.
589.	Leisure Report	May 2005	to raise the bar and not gamble with our time in Vegas.
590.	Lewiston Morning Tribune	May 1, 2005	earned about \$800 on his trip to Vegas.
591.	Lincoln Journal Star	May 1,2005	with an aerial view of rooftops, a parking garage and asphalt in "Untitled (Vegas)"
592.	Macworld	May 1, 2005	perfect for "business" trips to Vegas.
593.	Meetings & Conventions	May 1, 2005	We do 26,000 meetings a year in Vegas.
594.	Men's Fitness	May 1, 2005	You can never have too much money in Vegas.
595.	Mix	May 1, 2005	we have played The Joint at the Hard Rock in Vegas.
596.	Money	May, 2005	1962: Moves family to Vegas
597.	Money	May, 2005	a table in Vegas and a lifetime of lessons about investing.
598.	Muscle & Fitness	May 1, 2003	unlike the typical ending in Vegas casinos, you keep your change.
599.	The New York Times	May 1, 2005	she did the show " for a free trip to Vegas"
600.	The New York Post	May 1, 2005	Miami to Vegas The CBS "CSI franchise
601.	The New York Post	May 1, 2005	from Vegas he's off to L.A
602.	News & Record	May 1, 2005	calling it anything having to do with Vegas.
603.	The News of the World	May 1, 2005	half the price of tickets to a Vegas fight.
604.	The Ottawa Sun	May 1, 2005	Famed Vegas marriage overseer Rev. Charolette Richards says
605.	Philadelphia Magazine	May, 2005	Ameristar Casinos of Vegas, which has facilities in Missouri, Iowa,
606.	Philadelphia Inquirer	May 1, 2005	food and wine, skiing, Vegas casinos, flight and hotel or car and hotel.

	Publication	Date	Use
607.	Pittsburgh Post-Gazette	May 1,2005	if there was betting on that figure in Vegas
-000	D	May 1 2005	But tribes are building casinos, and
608.	Reno Gazette-Journal	May 1, 2005	Vegas has this place beat
609.	Restaurant Business	May 1, 2005	or the showmanship that
000.	rootaaran Basiness	-	accompanies dinner in Vegas.
610.	Retail Traffic	May 1, 2005	plenty of other topics to talk about in Vegas, too.
611.	Retail Traffic	May 1, 2005	Vegas whiz kid and Mirage-builder Steve Wynn
612	Retail Traffic	May 1, 2005	Not one major project was in the works in downtown Vegas a year ago.
613.	Sacramento Bee	May 1, 2005	I was trying to get him the Vegas job.
614.	Salt Lake Tribune	May 1, 2005	'Hairspray' in Vegas
615.	Scientific Computing & Instrumentation	May 1, 2005	but Vegas is the nuclear weapon of conference committees looking to boost attendance.
616.	Sound & Video Contractor	May 1, 2005	communication technologies in action at major, state-of-the-art Vegas facilities.
617.	The Sunday Oregonian	May 1, 2005	What happens in Vegas doesn't always stay in Vegas.
618.	Sunday Express	May 1, 2005	More than 260 backroom staff at the Mirage Hotel in Vegas where the pair performed have already been laid off.
619.	Sunset	May 1, 2005	900 feet above the bright light of Vegas
620.	The Washington Times	May 1, 2005	and talk of Vegas slot machines.
621.	Winston-Salem Journal	May 1, 2005	We've worn out I-20 and I-40 and Vegas and the Grand Canyon, so now we're running 80.
622.	Wireless Week	May 1, 2005	Soon, what happens in Vegas won't necessarily stay in Vegas.
623.	Wood & Wood Products	May 1, 2005	Plan to check out Vegas
624	Boston Herald	July 14, 2005	It's in the cards; Poker craze in spotlight as World Series explodes in glitzy Vegas
625.	Argus Leader (Sioux Falls, ND)	January 13, 2005	It's a best effort to avoid the type of disappointment Nevada officials felt last October. The U.S. mint prohibited use of gambling images, barring slot machines, playing cards
626.	USA Today	July 6, 2005	Then there's fabulous Las Vegas, including oversized playing cards and dice

	Publication	Date	Use
627.	Boston Globe	July 14, 2005	For Poker Players, Universal Draw of World Series Lies in Riches Thousands in Vegas Vie for \$7.5 M Prize
628.	Associated Press Wire Report, as published on MSNBC.com	Oct. 2, 2004 at 6:14 pm	Slot machines, playing cards, and even the games Las Vegas skyline won't be on Nevada's quarter
629.	Philadelphia Enquirer	July 5, 2005	In Vegas, they're playing cards
630.	Baltimore Sun	November 2, 2003	A Marylander sells the family business and moves to Vegas to play poker
631.	Chicago Daily Herald	May 27, 2005	Las Vegas playing cards from casinos like the Dunes Hotel
632.	M2 Presswire	June 8, 2005	Gaming Partners International Corporation is headquartered in Las Vegas, Nevada
633.	Bon Appétit	Sept., 2005	Vegas: The Second Wave
			As for Vegas, well, it isn't really a great restaurant city
			MGM Grand is the ultimate Vegas destination for savvy travelers
			More of what you come to Vegas for.
			Taste of Vegas
			Only Vegas™
			Only in Vegas can you experience
			Picture of a chef wearing an "Only Vegas" coat and flipping playing cards.
			Only Vegas.
			Only Vegas™
			What's Your Vegas Alibi?
			Picture of Emeril Lagasse wearing " Only Vegas" coat

	Publication	Date	Use
			Picture of Daniel Voulud wearing " Only Vegas" coat and fanning playing cards
			Picture of Tom Moloney wearing " Only Vegas" coat
			Picture of Guy Savoy wearing "Only Vegas" coat and fanning playing cards.
633.	Bon Appétit (cont.)	Sept., 2005	Picture of Jöel Robuchon wearing " Only Vegas" coat and flipping playing cards.
			Picture of Rock Moonen wearing " Only Vegas" coat
			"Taste of Vegas" with a chef's hat design to the right of each chef's name.
			Only Vegas™
			Rick Moonen:Things I Love Most About Vegas Best Vegas Memory:
			Guy Savoy:Things I Love Most About Vegas Best Vegas Memory: Favorite Vegas Gaming Pastime:
			Daniel Boulud:Thing I Love Most About Vegas: Being In Vegas Today. Best Vegas Memory: In 1981, I Took First Trip To Vegas In An RV - That Is When I Discovered Vegas.
			Jöel Bobuchon:Thing I Love Most About Vegas Favorite Vegas Gaming Pastime:
			Tom Moloney:Thing I Love Most About Vegas Biggest Career Gamble: Moving From Florida To Vegas Favorite Vegas Gaming Pastime:

Publication Date	-Use
	Emeril Lagasse: Thing I Love Most About Vegas Best Vegas Memory: What Happens In Vegas, Stays In Vegas.
	Vegas The Second Wave

	Publication	Date	Üse
634.	The Wall Street Journal	Oct. 22, 2005	It's Our Vegas Sweepstakes!
			travelocity.com/vegas
			It's Our Vegas Sweepstakes!
			Only Vegas™
635.	National Geographic Traveler	Oct. 2001	In Vegas our buildings are proud
			before Walt opened the gates in Anaheim, he visited Vegas,
			real estate is the tabula rasa on which Vegas immigrants
			But in Vegas one never forgets the desert
			I doubt our Vegas Popsicle will melt anytime soon
636.	Gale Group, Inc. ASAP/Advanstar Communications, Inc. Travel Agent (available on LEXIS/NEXIS)	March 3, 2003	In London and Manchester, England, taxicabs were adorned with Vegas symbols, including images of playing cards and dice.
637.	New York Times (available on Westlaw)	Jan. 30, 2004	CASINO CARDSDeal from a deck of used playing cards from Las Vegas casinos that frequently replace their cards \$1.25 to \$1.75 a deck, all with casino logos. (866) 663-1445 www.homecasinogames.com

	Publication	Date	Use
638.	New York Times (available on Westlaw)		Michael Godard Fine Arts, a Las Vegas company that sells whimsical paintings and reproductions, featuring martini glasses, poker chips, playing cards
639.	New York Times (available on Westlaw)	Feb. 26, 2004	anyone who has ever played in Las Vegas or even a game of Go Fish has almost certainly played with the company's cards
640.	Fort Worth Star Telegram (available on Westlaw)	Oct. 3, 2004	Slot machines, playing cards and even the famed Las Vegas skyline won't be on Nevada's quarter, much to the disappointment of state officials.
641.	The Kansas City Star (available on LEXIS/NEXIS)	Nov. 28, 2000	The largest of the also-ran card makers is Las Vegas-based Paul- Son Gaming Corp
			Casinos cannot simply throw used cards away. State regulators typically require permanent destruction or defacing of each card to ensure that none pops out of the sleeve of a card cheat one day
			As a result, card recycling is a Las Vegas cottage industry. Baranowski said recyclers competed with one another for boxes of used cards that have been drilled through by the casinos or have had their corners clipped
			These entrepreneurs then hand- sort the cards back into complete decks for secondary sales as Las Vegas souvenirs
642.	Las Vegas Mini Rough Guide by Greg Ward	March, 2000	His greatest coup was to establish the <i>Horseshoe</i> as the permanent home of the World Series of Poker
			Blackjack is the most popular table game in Las Vegas

	Publication	Date	Use
			Poker is unique among Las Vegas card games in that gamblers play against each other
			Several [casinos] have begun to stage poker tournaments along the lines of the wildly successful, World Series of Poker held in April each year at Binion's Horseshoe downtown
642.	Las Vegas Mini Rough Guide by Greg Ward (cont.)	March, 2000	Gamblers General Storethey havepacks of cards from all the casinos for .99¢
			Top twelve Las Vegas movies <i>Honeymoon in Vegas</i> (1993)
643.	Frommers® Las Vegas 2006	2006	Diagram of Blackjack table
			Display of cards in Poker Hands
			A Look Back at Vegas: No Tomorrow
			Best of Vegas After Dark
			Vegas on the Upswing
			Penn & Teller's Top 10 Things One Should Never Do In A Vegas Magic Show
			Recording Vegas
			gambling is what Vegas is about
			Almost everyone plays in Vegas
			Blackjack
			POKER
			In Las Vegas, poker is just about the biggest thing going

Publication Date	Use
	Just about every casino now has a poker room, and it's just a matter of time before the others catch up
	Card sharks are not a rare species in Vegas
	PAI GOW POKER
	CARIBBEAN STUD POKER
643. Frommers® Las Vegas 2006 2006 (cont.)	3 CARD POKER
	at least one table in major Vegas casinos
	5 Souvenirs Bonanza Gift and Souvenir Shop, 2460 Las Vegas Blvd. S It's the self-proclaimed "World's Largest Gift Shop" all kinds of playing cards both new and used (casinos have to change decks frequently, so this where used packs go)
	the best place in Vegas for your comic-book needs.

Dated: March 13, 2006

Lynda F. Roesch (lynda.roesch@dinslaw.com)

Kathryn K. Przywara

(kathryn.przywara@dinslaw.com)

Steven A. Abreu

(steven.abreu@dinslaw.com)

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

1900 Chemed Center

255 East Fifth Street

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 977-8139-direct

(513) 977-8141-fax

Attorney for Opposer

The United States Playing Card Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was sent by e-mail and U.S. Mail on this 13th day of March, 2006 to Timothy A. Flory, Van Dyke, Gardner, Linn & Burkhart, LLP, 2851 Charlevoix Drive, S.E., P.O. Box 888695, Grand Rapids, MI 49588-8695.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by electronic mail to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Kristi Wells
(Type or brinted Name of Person Signing Certificate)
(Signature)

March 13, 2006

(Date)



LEXSEE 1998 U.S. DIST, LEXIS 3297

MID CITY BOWLING LANES & SPORTS PALACE, INC. versus DON CARTER'S ALL STAR LANES-SUNRISE LTD., GARY R. ALBERADO, and WOLFRAM VIDEO, INC.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 96-2643 SECTION: E/1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3297

March 12, 1998, Decided March 12, 1998, Filed; March 13, 1998, Entered

DISPOSITION: [*1] Motion in limine filed by plaintiff, Mid City Lanes, DENIED.

COUNSEL: For MID CITY BOWLING LANES & SPORTS PALACE INC., plaintiff: Cary Joseph Deaton, Cary J. Deaton, Attorney at Law, Arthur Devereau Dupre, Jr., Daigle, Sullivan, Dupre & Aldous, Metairie, LA.

For GARY R ALBERADO, DON CARTER'S ALL STAR LANES - NEW ORLEANS EASTBANK, defendants: Christopher DuPont Matchett, William C. George, Matchett, Verbois, Futrell & Henchy, Baton Rouge, LA.

For WOLFRAM VIDEO INC, defendant: Larry Gene Canada, Galloway, Johnson, Tompkins & Burr, New Orleans, LA.

For WOLFRAM VIDEO INC, defendant: Daniel D. Resheter, Jr., Law Offices of Daniel D. Resheter, Jr., Milwaukee, WI.

JUDGES: MARCEL LIVAUDAIS, JR., Senior United States District Judge.

OPINIONBY: MARCEL LIVAUDAIS, JR.

OPINION:

ORDER AND REASONS

The plaintiff, Mid City Bowling Lanes & Sports Palace Inc., ("Mid City Lanes"), has filed a motion in limine with this Court, to prohibit the defendant, Wolfram Video, Inc., ("Wolfram"), from: 1) offering expert testimony regarding bowling industry use and recognition of "Rock 'N' Bowl"; 2) introducing any such expert opinion based on any measurements any witness had not taken himself; 3) introducing [*2] into evidence information

contained in "Internet sites," on the basis that it is inadmissible hearsay; and 4) introducing evidence not otherwise in compliance with FRE 704, and Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 509 U.S. 579, 125 L. Ed. 2d 469, 113 S. Ct. 2786 (1993). Defendant, Wolfram, opposes this motion in limine.

Mid City Lanes moves this Court to prohibit the admission into evidence of testimony and documentary evidence expected to be offered by defendant. First, Mid City Lanes argues that Wolfram has failed to name any of its anticipated witnesses as experts. It further contends that testimony relating to an entire industry's use and recognition of certain terms. requires some specialized knowledge that can only be proffered by an expert witness.

Defendant Wolfram argues that expert testimony is not a necessary benchmark for proof in a trademark case. The absence of consumer surveys does not preclude the trier of fact from finding a trademark is either generic or distinctive. Yamaha Intern. Corp. v. Hoshino Gakki Co., Ltd., 840 F.2d 1572, 1583 (Fed. Cir. 1988). "To prove distinctiveness under 15 U.S.C. § 1052(f), applicants may submit any 'appropriate [*3] evidence tending to show that the mark distinguishes [applicant's] goods." Id. at 1583. Expert testimony is not required.

While Wolfram admits that it does not intend to offer expert testimony in this matter, plaintiff nevertheless objects to any expert testimony on any issue in this case to the extent it is hearsay. The court in Soden v. Freightliner Corp., 714 F.2d 498 (5th Cir. 1983) considered a similar objection to the admission of expert testimony and observed:

Thus, under Rule 703 n1 an expert can discuss as the basis for an opinion facts or data which are otherwise inadmissible hearsay, 'i f of a type reasonably relied upon by experts

in the particular field in forming opinions or inferences upon the subject.' A trial court's inquiry into whether this standard is satisfied must be made on a case-by-case basis and should focus on the reliability of the opinion and its foundation rather than merely on the fact that it was based, technically speaking, upon hearsay.

Id. at 502-3. Thus, even if defendant were to introduce expert testimony which was based in part on hearsay, the objection is without merit. Insomuch as defendant Wolfram [*4] represents that it does not intend to offer expert testimony, any objection relating to the foundation of such testimony is moot.

n1 Rule 703 of the Federal Rule of Evidence provides that "the facts or data in the particular case upon which an expert bases an opinion or inference may be those perceived by or made known to the expert at or before the hearing. If of a type reasonably relief upon by experts in the particular field in forming opinions or inferences upon the subject, the facts or data need not be admissible in evidence.

Wolfram further argues that opinion testimony from non-expert witnesses is admissible in certain limited circumstances. This Court recognizes that a lay witness may form and offer opinion testimony in Court. Lay opinion offered must: 1) not require specialized knowledge, 2) be based upon witness' own perception and knowledge of the facts, 3) aid the jury in understanding the witness' testimony, and 4) could be reached by an ordinary person. Brady v. Chemical Const. Corp., 740 [*5] F.2d 195, 201 (2d Cir. 1984) and Federal Rule of Evidence 701. The fact that a lay opinion relates to an "ultimate fact" does not

preclude its introduction, but the lay witness must satisfy the criteria that he has personal knowledge of the facts from which he derived an opinion, a rational connection must exist between the opinion expressed and the facts upon which it is based, and the opinion must be helpful in understanding the testimony or in determining a fact in issue. Soden, 714 F.2d at 511.

The plaintiff next alleges Wolfram's exhibits that include various Internet web site advertisements should be prohibited due to the lack of testimony regarding the authenticity of the information contained.

The defendant argues that the exhibits of publications on Internet sites are admissible in a trademark case upon laying a proper evidentiary foundation. One of the main issues to be tried in this action, whether a term is entitled to trademark status, is dependent on how the mark is understood and perceived by the purchasing public. Proper evidence of purchaser understanding may derive from the direct testimony of consumers, consumer surveys, trade journals, dictionary [*6] listings, newspapers, and other publications. Glover v. Ampak, Inc., 74 F.3d 57, 59 (4th Cir. 1996), Magic Wand, Inc. v. RDB, Inc., 940 F.2d 638, 641 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Internet listings fall within this category of evidence.

Accordingly, for the above and foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion in limine filed by plaintiff, Mid City Lanes, be and is hereby **DENIED AT THIS TIME**. Plaintiff may renew any objection at trial to the extent that the evidence which is being offered is not admissible, or the proper foundation was not laid, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Evidence.

New Orleans, Louisiana, March 12, 1998.

MARCEL LIVAUDAIS, JR.

Senior United States District Judge

EXHIBIT B

THIS DECISION IS NOT CITABLE AS PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB

Mailed: August 5, 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

In re Joseph W. Cotchett

Serial No. 78208591

Paul W. Reidl, Esq. for Joseph W. Cotchett.

S.E. Hickey, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 112 (Janice O'Lear, Managing Attorney).

Before Hohein, Drost and Walsh, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Walsh, Administrative Trademark Judge:

On January 29, 2003, Joseph W. Cotchett (applicant) filed an intent-to-use application to register HALF MOON BAY on the Principal Register for "wines."

The examining attorney refused registration on the ground that the mark is primarily geographically descriptive of the goods under Section 2(e)(2) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e)(2). Applicant

responded; the examining attorney issued a final refusal; and applicant appealed.

The sole issue on appeal is whether HALF MOON BAY is primarily geographically descriptive of wines.

To determine whether HALF MOON BAY is primarily geographically descriptive of wines we must determine: whether the primary significance of the mark is as the name of a place which is generally known; and (2) whether the wine-purchasing public would associate the goods of applicant with the place named, that is, whether the public would believe that the goods come from the place named. re Societe Generale des Eaux Minerales de Vittel S.A., 824 F.2d 957, 3 USPQ2d 1450, 1452 (Fed. Cir. 1987); In re JT Tobacconists, 59 USPQ2d 1080, 1081 (TTAB 2001); In re California Pizza Kitchen Inc., 10 USPQ2d 1704, 1705 (TTAB 1988). The two questions are bound together, that is, there can be no goods-place association if the place named is so obscure or remote that purchasers for the product at issue would not recognize it as a place. Vittel, 3 USPQ2d at 1452.

In a concurring opinion in <u>In re Nantucket, Inc.</u>, 677
F.2d 95, 213 USPQ 889, 895 (CCPA 1982), Judge Nies
discussed the common law origins of the Trademark Act
treatment of geographic terms:

Basic to consideration of the registrability and protectability of geographic terms as a trademark is the routine commercial practice of merchants, whether they are growers, manufacturers, distributors, or local retailers in placing the name of their location on their goods or using the name in their trade name. Because the public would be aware of common trade practice, the common law originally deemed all use of geographic names wholly informational and unprotectible. It was believed such names could not function, and in any event, should not be recognized as the identification of a single source. Thus we must start with the concept that a geographic name of a place of business is a descriptive term when used on the goods of that business. There is a public goods/place association, in effect, presumed.

However, as with other terms which are descriptive when first used, it came to be recognized that through substantially exclusive and extensive use, a merchant might develop a protectible good will in such a geographically descriptive name upon proof that the name ceased being informational to the public and came to indicate a source of goods.

Applicant observes that, in fact, "The wine industry commonly uses geographic place names as trademarks."

Applicant's Brief at 9. And, in particular, applicant indicates further that, ". . . applicant's winery is located in Half Moon Bay, California." Id. at 3.

With the final refusal, the examining attorney provided evidence relevant to both the primary geographic significance of HALF MOON BAY and the association of wines with that place. The relevant evidence comes from the electronic version of the Columbia Gazetteer of North

America (2000) and Merriam-Webster's Geographical Dictionary (3rd ed. 1988).

The Gazetteer includes an entry for Half Moon Bay as follows:

Half Moon Bay, city (1990 pop. 8,886) San Mateo co., W Calif, suburb 23 mi/37 km S of downtown San Francisco, on picturesque Half Moon Bay, of Pacific Ocean, at mouth of Pilarcitos Creek; 37°28'N 122°27'W. sheltered on N by Pillar Point. Artichokes, brussel sprouts; grain; Christmas trees, ornamentals, flowers, nursery prods.; fishing; mfg. (musical instruments, light mfg.). Annual Pumpkin Festival. Half Moon Bay Airport to NW; Santa Cruz Mts. and San Francisco Fish and Game Reserve to NE; part of Half Moon Bay State Beach is to N.

The geographical dictionary includes only one entry for "Half Moon Bay" as follows: "Half Moon Bay, City, San Mateo co., W California, on Half Moon Bay (inlet); pop. (1990c) 8886."

The examining attorney's evidence indicates that there is a city named Half Moon Bay located on a bay of the same name about 20 miles south of San Francisco. The city is of significant size with a 1990 population of nearly 9,000. The evidence indicates further that there is agricultural, fishing and manufacturing activity in the city and area known as Half Moon Bay. There is also a local airport called Half Moon Bay Airport.

Applicant adds some additional information by representing that, "The purchasing public, inasmuch as it is familiar with Half Moon Bay, California, recognizes this place as a seaside resort and weekend getaway."

Applicant's Brief at 5. Based on applicant's representation, we conclude that Half Moon Bay, California is also a destination for tourists and vacationers.

When viewed as a whole, the evidence leads to the conclusion that HALF MOON BAY refers to a known geographical location, namely, Half Moon Bay, California, which is neither obscure nor remote. This is also the place where applicant is located.

We also conclude that there is an association between Half Moon Bay, California and wine. In concluding so we first note that, as a general proposition, a goods-place association can be presumed when, as here, the goods of applicant, in fact, come from the place named. In re MCO Properties, Inc., 38 USPQ2d 1154, 1155 (TTAB 1995); In re Cambridge Digital Systems, 1 USPQ2d 1659, 1661 (TTAB 1986); In re Handler Fenton Westerns, Inc., 214 USPQ 848, 849 (TTAB 1982). In this case, we need not rely on that presumption alone because Half Moon Bay, California is a place of significant size where both agricultural and manufacturing activity occurs. Thus, Half Moon Bay,

California is not a place "devoid of commercial activity."

In re Cambridge Digital Systems, 1 USPQ2d at 1662. In fact, Half Moon Bay, California is the locus of activities, agricultural and manufacturing, which are entirely compatible with the production of wine. In re Cambridge Digital Systems, 1 USPQ2d at 1662 (Goods-place association found between Cambridge, Massachusetts and computers based on evidence that Cambridge is a "manufacturing and commercial center for electrical machinery and scientific instruments."). And, applicant is, in fact, producing wine in Half Moon Bay, California.

All in all, the evidence points to the conclusion that HALF MOON BAY refers to a known geographical location which is neither obscure nor remote, and an association of that location with wine.

Applicant argues that HALF MOON BAY has a suggestive, nongeographical meaning, and therefore, is not primarily geographically descriptive. Applicant's Brief at 3.

However, there is simply no basis to conclude, as applicant urges, that the mark will conjure up in the minds of wine drinkers "the image of enjoying Applicant's product in the moonlight by the water" rather than a place. Id. If we were to adopt applicant's view, we would be compelled to find virtually any place name derived from suggestive

terminology, such as Clearwater or Palm Springs, not primarily geographically descriptive. This argument is merely another way of asserting that a place name is obscure, and therefore, would not be perceived as a place name. Furthermore, there is no evidence here to establish an alternative, overriding meaning which the public would associate with HALF MOON BAY.

This case is also not at all like other cases where the Board has found that a suggestive meaning, growing out a strong association of the place named with an industry or institution, overrides the geographical significance when the mark is applied to certain goods or services. In re International Taste Inc., 53 USPQ2d 1604, 1605 (TTAB 2000); In re Municipal Capital Markets Corp., 51 USPQ2d 1369, 1371 (TTAB 1999); In re Cotter & Co., 228 USPQ 202, 205 (TTAB 1985). Simply put, HALF MOON BAY is not Hollywood, Cooperstown or West Point. We have concluded that, on this record, HALF MOON BAY is neither remote nor obscure and that there is no established, alternative primary meaning for HALF MOON BAY in the public mind.

We also reject the suggestion that the association of the place primarily with tourism somehow negates the primary geographical meaning as applied to wines. In re
Nantucket Allserve Inc., 28 USPQ2d 1144, 1145 (TTAB 1993).

Applicant also argues that there are other places known as HALF MOON BAY, and therefore, the mark is not primarily geographically descriptive of Half Moon Bay, California. In both the main brief and reply brief applicant notes the existence of "other" Half Moon Bays in Australia, New Zealand, St. Kitts and Antiqua. The only support applicant provides to demonstrate the existence of these places are web addresses. In providing the web addresses applicant apparently presumed that the content of the associated sites would become of record. The examining attorney objected to the form of this evidence. In his reply brief applicant continues to urge acceptance of this "evidence" and argues further that the Board can independently verify applicant's assertions by consulting an atlas. However, applicant neither identifies nor provides pages from any atlas for this purpose.

The identification of web addresses alone is insufficient to make content provided on those web sites of record. The content of web sites changes constantly, in many instances minute by minute. Web addresses also change

¹ In his first office action response applicant referred to additional potential Half Moon Bays, including a bay on a lake in Grand Teton National Park, a swamp in South Carolina and a bay in Jamaica, also without providing any supporting documentation. It is unclear whether applicant intended to maintain these references as part of its argument, but we find these no more persuasive than those applicant cites in its brief.

constantly. Indeed, entire web sites can disappear without notice, and likewise web addresses can be rendered inactive without notice. Consequently, the provision of a mere web address in an attempt to make the content of the associated site of record does not afford any of the certainty or permanence required to establish a record. In re Planalytics Inc., 70 USPQ2d 1453, 1457-58 (TTAB 2004). Therefore, we have not considered any content from the web sites which may be associated with the web addresses to which applicant refers in its papers. The record on appeal is limited to materials properly made of record either by applicant or the examining attorney prior to appeal. 37 C.F.R. § 2.142(d). If an applicant wishes to rely on content from a web site, the applicant must print out the relevant content and submit it for the record prior to appeal with appropriate information as to the source.

With regard to applicant's suggestion that the Board take judicial notice of an unidentified atlas, we note that applicant could have made material from an atlas of record but did not do so. The Board may, in its discretion, take judicial notice of certain sources. In this case, we decline to do so.

Turning to the merits of applicant's arguments that there are other places known as HALF MOON BAY, the only

basis we have for finding that such places exist is applicant's assertion. Applicant's assertion is insufficient for this purpose. Even if applicant had supported this assertion with evidence, the existence of these other apparently obscure places outside the United States known as Half Moon Bay would be insufficient to refute the conclusion that the primary geographic significance of HALF MOON BAY is the place where applicant is located. The mere existence of other places of the same name is generally insufficient to negate a finding that a place name is primarily geographically descriptive. In reLoew's Theatres Inc., 769 F.2d 764, 226 USPQ 865, 868 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Cambridge Digital Systems, 1 USPQ2d at 1662.

Lastly, Applicant argues that the issuance of registrations for two marks, LIVINGSTON CELLARS and WOODBRIDGE, for wines supports registration in this case. Applicant argues that each of these marks identifies the place in California where the respective wines are produced. We reject this argument as well.

First we turn to the form of this evidence. In his brief the examining attorney objected to applicant's submission of the full electronic records regarding these registrations with applicant's brief. Applicant had

referred to the registrations in his response to the first office action. The examining attorney objected to the references in the final action indicating that the references were insufficient to make the registrations of record. Accordingly, we will not consider either the information applicant provided in the office action response or the full electronic copies of the registration information applicant provided with its brief. The information applicant provided with its response was insufficient to make the registrations of record and applicant's submission of the full records with its brief was untimely. 37 C.F.R. § 2.142(d). TBMP § 1208.02 (2d ed. rev. 2004).

Also, applicant provided no evidence to support its contention that Livingston and Woodbridge are place names. The examining attorney provided the relevant page from the geographical dictionary indicating that there is no entry for Woodbridge, California. More importantly, even if applicant had provided appropriate documents at the appropriate time to support this argument, we must determine whether a particular place name is "primarily geographically descriptive" according to the unique facts of each case. Neither the Board nor the examining attorney is bound by the prior actions of the Office in cases which

involve different facts. <u>In re Nett Designs, Inc.</u>, 236

F.3d 1339, 57 USPQ2d 1564 (Fed. Cir. 2001). One could just as readily identify registrations for geographical place names for wine issued on the basis of acquired distinctiveness, consistent with the common law practice now codified in the Trademark Act which Judge Nies described. Nantucket, 213 USPQ at 895.

In conclusion, we have considered all of the evidence which is properly of record in this case and determined that HALF MOON BAY is primarily geographically descriptive for wines. Half Moon Bay, California is a place which is neither obscure nor remote, but rather a place which would be generally known to the purchasers of wine. And HALF MOON BAY is also a place which is associated with wines.

Decision: The refusal to register the mark because it is primarily geographically descriptive of the goods is affirmed.

EXHIBIT C

THIS DISPOSITION IS
NOT CITABLE AS
PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB

Mailed: July 15, 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

In re Bristol-Myers Squibb Company

Serial No. 78222332

Ruth E. Lazar of Fross Zelnick Lehrman & Zissu, P.C. for Bristol-Myers Squibb Company.

Heather D. Thompson, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 103 (Michael Hamilton, Managing Attorney).

Before Chapman, Bucher and Holtzman, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Holtzman, Administrative Trademark Judge:

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company is seeking to register the mark PRINCETON PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS for a "house mark for a line of pharmaceutical preparations," in International Class 5. The application is based upon an allegation of a bona fide intention to use the mark in commerce.

¹ Application Serial No. 78222332, filed March 6, 2003.

The trademark examining attorney has refused registration under Section 2(e)(2) of the Trademark Act on the ground that applicant's mark is primarily geographically descriptive of its goods.

When the refusal was made final, applicant appealed.

Briefs have been filed. An oral hearing was not requested.

It is the examining attorney's burden to establish the prima facie case in support of the refusal of registration. A prima facie case for refusal under Section 2(e)(2) that the mark is primarily geographically descriptive of the goods requires a showing that (1) the mark's primary significance is a generally known geographic location; and (2) that the relevant public would be likely to make a goods/place association, i.e., would be likely to think that the goods originate (or will originate) in the place named in the mark. See In re Save Venice New York, Inc., 259 F.3d 1346, 59 USPQ2d 1778 (Fed. Cir. 2001); In re Nantucket, 677 F.2d 95, 213 USPQ 889 (CCPA 1982); and In re California Pizza Kitchen, Inc., 10 USPQ2d 1704 (TTAB 1988).

A mark is not "primarily" geographic where the geographic meaning is minor, obscure, remote, or unconnected with the goods.

In re Wada, 194 F.3d 1297, 52 USPQ2d 1539 (Fed. Cir. 1999); and

² The examining attorney also issued and subsequently made final a requirement under Trademark Rule 2.61(b) that applicant specifically state whether or not its goods are manufactured in Princeton, New Jersey. We have deemed this requirement waived as discussed later in this decision.

In re Loew's Theatres, Inc., 769 F.2d 764, 226 USPQ 865, 867 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (use of a geographic term in a fictitious, arbitrary or fanciful manner, is not "primarily" as a geographic designation.)

Thus, registration should not be refused where, for example, there is a genuine issue that the place named in the mark is so obscure or remote that purchasers would fail to recognize the term as indicating the geographical source of the goods to which the mark is applied; or (2) an admittedly well-recognized term has other meanings, such that the term's geographical significance may not be the primary significance to prospective purchasers. See In re Cambridge Digital Systems, 1 USPQ2d 1659 (TTAB 1986).

Where, on the other hand, there is no genuine issue that the geographical significance of a term is its primary significance and where the geographical place is neither obscure nor remote, a public association of the goods with the place may ordinarily be presumed from the fact that the applicant's own goods come from the geographical place named in the mark. See In re Societe General des Eaux Minerals de Vittel S.A., 824 F.2d 957, 3 USPQ2d 1450 (Fed. Cir. 1987); and In re Cambridge Digital Systems, supra.

Turning to the first part of the test, the examining attorney has submitted a listing from The American Heritage

Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition (2000) that identifies "Princeton" as "[a] borough of central New Jersey north-northeast of Trenton. Founded by Quakers in 1696." This entry goes on to describe Princeton as "the seat of Princeton University (established in 1746...)." The examining attorney also refers to a listing from the same dictionary that defines "pharmaceutical" as "a pharmaceutical product or preparation."

Applicant does not contend that "Princeton" is obscure or remote or that it is not a generally known geographic place.

Rather it is applicant's contention that the primary significance of Princeton is not geographic because it has another meaning which is not geographic. Applicant notes that "Princeton is the home to Princeton University, a prestigious, world-renowned university founded centuries ago" as well as other esteemed educational institutions. (Response dated February 25, 2004, p.

2.) Applicant has submitted a page from the website of Princeton University (www.princeton.edu) containing a brief background and description of the University; and an entry for "Princeton University" from the website of http://en.wikipedia.org ("the free encyclopedia") stating that the University is "located in Princeton, New Jersey," that it is "one of the eight Ivy League universities," and that it is "widely regarded as one of the most

³ We take judicial notice of an entry in *Microsoft Encarta College Dictionary* (2001) that identifies "Princeton" as a "town" in New Jersey.

prestigious universities in the world." Applicant has also submitted the following entry from *The Columbia Gazetteer of North America* (2000) identifying "Princeton" as follows (abbreviations in original):

"borough (1990 pop. 12,016), Mercer co., W central N.J.; ...A leading education center, it is the seat of Princeton Univ., the Inst. for Advanced Study, Princeton Theological Seminary, Westminster Choir Co., St. Joseph's Col., and other institutions. Home to numerous natl. and internatl. corporate research centers and hg.

Further, applicant states, "there are two federal trademark registrations for PRINCETON and PRINCETON UNIVERISTY [sic], which are based on the acquired distinctiveness of the term PRINCETON under Section 2(f) of the Trademark Act." (Response dated February 25, 2004, p. 2.) Applicant has submitted copies of these registrations from the USPTO's TARR database.

Based on the above evidence, applicant concludes that the association of the term "Princeton" with prestigious academics "is of equal if not greater significance than its association with a geographic locale." (Brief, p. 3.)

⁴ Registration No. 1498976 of PRINCETON UNIVERSITY for "providing educational services at the college and university level"; issued August 2, 1988 to The Trustees of Princeton University; Sections 8 and 15 affidavits accepted and acknowledged, respectively. "University" is disclaimed.

Registration No. 2219263 of PRINCETON for a variety of goods and services including pre-recorded audio and video tapes, computer programs, jewelry, publications, and variety store services; issued January 1, 1999 to The Trustees of Princeton University; Sections 8 and 15 affidavits accepted and acknowledged, respectively.

We find that the evidence sufficiently demonstrates that "Princeton" is the name of a generally known geographic location that is not obscure or remote to the public. The term is defined first as a geographic location in the dictionary reference submitted by the examining attorney as well as the *Gazetteer* reference supplied by applicant. The description of Princeton, New Jersey in these references in such terms as the "location of," "home of," or "seat of" the renown Princeton University as well as other leading research and educational facilities clearly enhances the term's geographic significance.

The evidence also shows that the geographic significance is the primary significance of the mark. We are unpersuaded by applicant's argument that the primary significance of "Princeton" is not geographic because the term has other meanings, and in particular, its association "with prestigious academics." As the examining attorney states in her brief, and the evidence shows, Princeton is "first and foremost" a geographic location. To the extent that "Princeton" does evoke an association with educational centers and institutions, that meaning is geographic as well. That is, the "educational" connotation is so closely connected to the town of Princeton that it does not overcome that

⁵ Applicant's reliance on In re Cotter & Company, 228 USPQ 202 (TTAB 1985) is misplaced here. The evidence in that case identified "West Point" as a military post or installation rather than a geographic place.

term's primary meaning as a geographic term. See, e.g., In re
Opryland USA Inc., 1 USPQ2d 1409, 1413 (TTAB 1986) (finding THE
NASHVILLE NETWORK primarily geographical notwithstanding that
Nashville "has other imagery than the city of Nashville, e.g.,
education, i.e. the 'Athens of the South'; country music;
Printer's Alley; a particular musical sound, i.e., 'The Nashville
Sound', etc."). See also In re Cambridge Digital Systems, supra
(finding CAMBRIDGE DIGITAL for computer systems primarily
geographically descriptive notwithstanding that Cambridge is the
name of a noted university in England and that Cambridge,
Massachusetts is renowned for the educational institutions
located there).

Applicant's reliance on the PRINCETON and PRINCETON

UNIVERSITY registrations to support its position that the mark is not primarily geographic is curious. The registrations actually support the examining attorney's position, not the applicant's.

They provide further evidence of the primary meaning of "Princeton" as a geographic term. The owner of these registrations presumably acknowledged the primary significance of Princeton as a geographic term as it sought registration based on a claim of acquired distinctiveness of its marks under Section 2(f) of the Trademark Act.

Serial No. 78222332

To the extent applicant is arguing that the geographic meaning of Princeton is no longer the primary meaning, or that the primary meaning has been overtaken by its acquired meaning as an "educational center" in view of the renown of the University, similar arguments have been addressed by the Board in the context of surnames. In particular, in In re McDonald's Corporation, 230 USPQ 304, 307 (TTAB 1986), the Board held:

...[F]or purposes of Section 2(e)(3) of the Act, the word "primarily" refers to the primary significance of the term, that is, the ordinary meaning of the word, and not to the term's strength as a trademark due to widespread advertising and promotion of the term as a mark to identify goods and/or services. [Citations omitted.]

In the present situation, the term "McDonald's" has no ordinary meaning other than as the possessive form of a relatively common surname in the United States. The Board readily concedes that the association of "MCDONALD'S" as a source indicating trademark and service mark has probably overtaken the original meaning of the word as a surname. However, this is due to the distinctiveness that has been acquired by the term over the years. ...

The primary significance of term "Princeton" is geographic, and the primary significance of PRINCETON PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS as a whole is geographic. The addition of generic and/or merely descriptive words to a geographical term does not overcome the primary geographic significance of the mark as a whole. See In re U.S. Cargo Inc., 49 USPQ2d 1702 (TTAB 1998); and In re Cambridge Digital Systems, supra. Unlike the composite marks in

the cases relied on by applicant such as In re Urbano, 51 USPQ2d 1776 (TTAB 1999) (SYDNEY 2000 for advertising and business services) and In re Jim Crockett Promotions, Inc., 5 USPQ2d 1455 (TTAB 1987) (THE GREAT AMERICAN BASH for wrestling matches), there is nothing added to PRINCETON, a geographic term, to render the mark in its entirety registrable. The wording PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS is generic for pharmaceutical preparations, and the combination does nothing to alter the geographic significance of PRINCETON alone.

We find no genuine issue that the primary significance of PRINCETON PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS is a geographic place which is not obscure or remote but rather is generally known to the public.

With respect to the second part of the test, the examining attorney argues that purchasers are likely to believe that applicant's goods will originate in Princeton because (1) at the least, applicant's goods are researched and developed in Princeton and (2) Princeton is known for pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical companies. In support of her position, the examining attorney has submitted pages from applicant's website (www.bms.com); pages of an Internet search summary; and excerpts of articles obtained from the NEXIS database. This evidence shows, according to the examining attorney, that pharmaceutical

products in general and applicant's pharmaceutical products in particular are connected to Princeton, New Jersey.

Applicant, on the other hand, argues that the evidence is insufficient to establish the requisite goods/place association. Specifically, applicant contends that the examining attorney has not established that Princeton "is known for or associated with pharmaceutical products" (Response dated February 25, 2004, p. 4); that while applicant does maintain a research facility in Princeton, this fact is not widely known to purchasers such that there would be any association with applicant and Princeton on the part of consumers at large; that applicant is a global pharmaceutical company and maintains other major research facilities in the United States and throughout the world; and that there is no evidence that consumers "make any association at all between Princeton and pharmaceutical products." (Reply Brief, p. 3.)

In establishing a prima facie case that there is a goods/place association between pharmaceutical products and Princeton, the examining attorney need not show that the public would actually make the asserted association, i.e., that the public actually believes the goods originate in Princeton.

Rather the examining attorney need only show a "reasonable basis" for concluding that the public would make the goods/place association. In re Loew's Theatres, Inc., supra at 868.

Thus, contrary to applicant's contention, the examining attorney need not show that Princeton, New Jersey is "known for pharmaceutical products" or that applicant's research facility is "widely known" to purchasers, or that "consumers at large" would actually make the association. In fact, where, as here, it has been shown that the primary significance of the mark is geographic and the place is not obscure or remote, it is sufficient to show that applicant's goods originate or will originate in the area named in the mark. 6 In re Carolina Apparel, 48 USPQ2d 1542, 1543 (TTAB 1998) (if a geographic term in a mark is neither remote nor obscure and the geographic significance is the primary connotation of the term, and where the goods or services actually originate from the geographic place designated in the mark, a public association of the goods or services with the place may ordinarily be presumed); and In re Handler Fenton Westerns, 214 USPQ 848 (TTAB 1992). Thus, we turn to the question of whether applicant's goods originate or will originate from Princeton, New Jersey.

We note in this regard that the examining attorney required, in her initial Office action, that applicant indicate specifically whether the goods will be manufactured or produced

⁶ Unlike the obscure and remote French town of Vittel in In re Societe General des Eaux Minerals de Vittel S.A., supra, the evidence in the present case shows that the town of Princeton is generally known to the American public.

in, or will have any other connection with, Princeton, New Jersey. Applicant's response was evasive, stating only that its corporate offices are located in New York, that it does have a research facility located in Princeton, New Jersey, and that its research center is not a manufacturing facility. (Response dated February 25, 2004, p. 4.) The examining attorney, noting that applicant failed to address the requirement, made the requirement final. In response, applicant stated: "Applicant wishes to clarify that although it has a research facility in Princeton, the pharmaceuticals that are the subject of research are neither manufactured nor otherwise produced at this facility." (Req. for Recon., unnumb. p. 4, emphasis added.)

It seems to us that applicant has carefully avoided directly answering the examining attorney's inquiry about whether applicant's pharmaceuticals will be produced in Princeton. However, because the examining attorney did not further pursue the requirement or even mention it in either her denial of applicant's request for reconsideration or her appeal brief, we deem the requirement waived.

Nevertheless, we find that despite applicant's failure to answer the question asked, the record as a whole, including the uncontroverted evidence submitted by the examining attorney shows that the goods do or will in fact come from Princeton. The NEXIS evidence submitted by the examining attorney shows, and applicant

does not dispute, that applicant, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, not only researches, but manufactures pharmaceuticals in Princeton, New Jersey. For example:

Chewable Ovcon-35 will be manufactured by Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. in Princeton, N.J. Pittsburgh Post-Gazette (Pennsylvania) (December 23, 2003); and also in Albuquerque Journal (New Mexico) (December 22, 2003).

Reyataz is manufactured by Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. of Princeton, N.J. FDA Consumer, September 9, 2003.

Peninsula Research Associates recently completed an open-label Phase 2 trial of Tequin gatifloxacin, manufactured by Bristol-Myers Squibb Princeton, N.J. Applied Clinical Trials (March 1, 2002).

The bridegroom, 26, was until last week a summer intern in the marketing strategy department of Bristol-Myers Squibb, the pharmaceuticals manufacturer, in Princeton, N. J. The New York Times (August 19, 2001).

Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., based in Princeton, N.J., manufactures Glucophage Crain's Detroit Business (June 25, 2001).

Applicant's broadly worded identification for a "line of pharmaceutical preparations" encompasses all pharmaceuticals, including those pharmaceuticals referenced above. Even if applicant's "PRINCETON" line of pharmaceuticals is not or will not be actually manufactured in Princeton, at a minimum, the NEXIS and other evidence of record shows that significant activities relating to applicant's pharmaceutical products emanate from Princeton, New Jersey. Applicant admittedly has a pharmaceutical research facility in Princeton. Moreover,

applicant has received media recognition relating to the town of Princeton. The "Newsroom" page of applicant's website contains an article dated June 24, 2003 from Time Inc.'s *health* magazine with the following headline:

Princeton, New Jersey-Based Bristol-Myers Squibb Named Among 10 Healthiest Companies For Women In U.S.: Health Report

In addition, applicant's pharmaceutical research headquarters are in Princeton. The following statement appears on the "About Us - Our Company - Our History" page of applicant's website:

In 1990 the Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceutical Research Institute was established with headquarters in Princeton, New Jersey...

Clearly, the record shows that a public association is likely between applicant's goods and Princeton, New Jersey in view of the fact that, at a minimum, applicant has a pharmaceutical research facility and moreover its pharmaceutical research headquarters there. See, e.g., In re Nantucket Allserve, Inc., 28 USPQ2d 1144, 1146 (TTAB 1993) (NANTUCKET NECTARS is primarily geographically descriptive of soft drinks,

⁷ Applicant, in its reply brief (p. 4), argues that because this statement "was not created by Applicant but rather by a third-party" it is of no probative value. We find the statement probative, not for the truth of the matter asserted, but as evidence that applicant has a substantial presence in Princeton. We also note that the statement appears on applicant's own website and applicant has not disputed its accuracy.

where company has its research and development center, but not its manufacturing facilities, on Nantucket).

Under the circumstances, nothing more need be shown by the examining attorney in order to establish a goods/place association. See In re Opryland USA Inc., supra at 1413 (TTAB 1986) ("[I]n that the evidence shows a substantial part of appellant's commercial activities emanate from or are related to Nashville, Tennessee, and that city is not obscure or remote, it is unnecessary for the Examining Attorney to establish by other evidence that a services/place relationship exists between appellant's services and the city of that name.").

However, there is additional support in the record for a goods/place association. Not only are applicant's own pharmaceutical research center and headquarters located in Princeton, but the Gazetteer entry submitted by applicant states that Princeton is "[h]ome to numerous nat[ional], and internat[ional], corporate research centers and [headquarters]." The NEXIS evidence and Internet search summary made of record by the examining attorney similarly indicate that other pharmaceutical companies (e.g., Convance, Inc., Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals, Ranbaxy Pharmaceuticals Inc., Lexicon Pharmaceuticals) are located in or near Princeton, New Jersey.

We find that the record establishes prima facie that the public is likely to believe that applicant's pharmaceutical products emanate from Princeton, New Jersey. 8

The fact that applicant's corporate headquarters are not in Princeton or that applicant has research facilities in geographic locations other than Princeton does not detract from the prima facie case made by the examining attorney or the perception of Princeton as at least one of the geographic sources of applicant's pharmaceuticals. See, e.g., In re California Pizza Kitchen, Inc., 10 USPQ2d 1704, 1706 (TTAB 1988) (whether an applicant manufactures some of the goods outside of the geographical area named in the mark is not controlling).

In further support of its position that the mark is registrable, applicant states that it is the owner of an incontestable registration (Registration No. 1432671) for the same mark, PRINCETON PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS, for "analgesics and anti-inflammatory pharmaceutical preparations." Applicant argues that "the nature of the goods in both the prior registration and the present application is <u>identical</u>, i.e., pharmaceuticals."

(Brief, p. 9., emphasis in original.) Applicant also states that

Thus, this case is distinguishable from the cases relied on by applicant such as Hyde Park Clothes, Inc. v. Hyde Park Fashions, Inc., 93 USPQ 250 (SDNY 1951), aff'd, 204 F.2d 223, 97 USPQ 246 (2d Cir. 1953), cert. denied, 346 U.S. 827, 99 USPQ 491 (1953) and In re Jacques Bernier, Inc., 894 F.2d 389, 13 USPQ2d 1725 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The evidence in those cases, unlike the present one, did not establish a goods/place association.

it was the owner of now cancelled Registration No. 1488069

(cancelled in 1994) "of the same mark for the identical goods."

(Brief, p. 2.) It is applicant's contention that the issuance of these registrations "is prima facie evidence that the [USPTO] did not consider this mark to be geographically descriptive, which should govern the current registrability analysis under principles of res judicata and collateral estoppel." (Id.)

Applicant did not furnish copies of these registrations or the contents of the registration files and the Board does not take judicial notice of such records. However, because the examining attorney did not object on this basis, we will consider applicant's arguments related thereto. For purposes of this decision, we will assume that both registrations are what applicant represents them to be including that they both issued on the Principal Register.

We disagree that the refusal to register constitutes a collateral attack on applicant's existing Registration No. 1432671. While the goods in that registration are identified as "analgesic and anti-inflammatory pharmaceutical preparations," the goods in the present application are identified broadly as a "house mark for a line of pharmaceutical preparations," which would logically include additional and different pharmaceutical products. Ownership of an incontestable registration does not give applicant a right to register the same mark for different

goods. See In re Loew's Theatres Inc., supra at 869 ("Nothing in the statute provides a right ipso facto to register a mark for additional goods when items are added to a company's line or substituted for other goods covered by a registration."); and In re Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner, & Smith, Inc., 828 F.2d 1567, 4 USPQ2d 1141 (Fed. Cir. 1987) (incontestable registration for specific services involving credit cards does not automatically entitle applicant to a registration for broader financial services). Each application for registration of a mark for particular goods must be separately evaluated. In re Nett Designs Inc., 236 F.3d 1339, 57 USPQ2d 1564, 1566 (Fed. Cir. 2001); and In re McDonald's Corporation, 230 USPQ 304, 307 (TTAB 1986). See also In re Stenographic Machines, Inc., 199 USPQ 313, 317 (Comm'r Pats. 1978) ("Consistency of Office practice must be secondary to correctness of Office practice.").

Furthermore, collateral estoppel does not apply to cancelled registrations. A cancelled registration is not entitled to any of the statutory presumptions of Section 7(b) of the Trademark Act. See, e.g., In re Hunter publishing Company, 204 USPQ 957, 963 (TTAB 1979) (cancellation "destroys the Section [7(b)] presumptions and makes the question of registrability 'a new ball game' which must be predicated on current thought.").

In any event, applicant has failed to provide potentially relevant information about the registrations. We have no

information as to whether those registrations issued under Section 2(f) and we are left to speculate as to what the record in those cases showed, such as whether there was any inquiry by the examining attorney in those cases as to the geographic nature of the mark.

Finally, we note that applicant has included in its brief a typed listing of third-party registrations that consist of or include the term "Princeton." The listing identifies only marks, registration numbers and goods/services. Applicant argues based on this evidence that the registration of the term "for a broad spectrum of goods and services...is evidence of its registrability on the Principal Register." (Brief, p. 10.) examining attorney objected to the listing only insofar as copies of the registrations were not submitted and not to the timeliness of the evidence. We have therefore treated the evidence as properly of record. However, we find it of no probative value for a number of reasons. Most notably, applicant has omitted significant information about the listed registrations such as whether the marks issued on the Principal Register, or whether they issued under Section 2(f), or whether the geographic terms in the marks were disclaimed, making it impossible to evaluate the evidence in any meaningful way.

We find that the examining attorney has made a prima facie case that applicant's mark is primarily geographically

Serial No. 78222332

descriptive of applicant's goods and that applicant has failed to rebut the prima facie case. See In re Pacer Technology, 338 F.3d 1348, 67 USPQ2d 1629 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

Decision: The refusal to register is affirmed.

EXHIBIT D

LEXSEE 2002 TTAB LEXIS 400

In re American International Group, Inc.

Serial No. 75/278,430

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

2002 TTAB LEXIS 400

June 25, 2002, Decided

[*1]

Before Cissel, Seeherman and Walters, Administrative Trademark Judges.

COUNSEL:

Mark J. Liss, Anne E. Naffziger and Elizabeth C. Diskin of Leydig, Voit & Mayer, Ltd. for American International Group, Inc.

Chrisie Brightmire King, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 109 (Ron S. Sussman, Managing Attorney).

OPINIONBY: SEEHERMAN

OPINION:

THIS DISPOSITION IS NOT CITABLE AS PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB

Opinion by Seeherman, Administrative Trademark Judge:

American International Group, Inc. has appealed from the final refusal of the Trademark Examining Attorney to register GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY, with the words INSURANCE COMPANY disclaimed, for the following services:

financial guarantee and surety; insurance underwriting in the fields of property, casualty, specialty workers' compensation, healthcare and automobile insurance to domestic and foreign markets." n1

n1 Application Serial No. 75/278,430, filed April 21, 1997, and asserting first use and first use in commerce as early as January 1, 1996.

Registration has been refused pursuant to Section 2(e)(3) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. 1052(e)(3), on the ground that applicant's mark is primarily geographically [*2] deceptive misdescriptive of applicant's identified services. Specifically, the Examining Attorney contends that GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY is a primarily geographic term because GRANITE STATE is a nickname for the state of New Hampshire; that applicant's services do not come from the state of New Hampshire; and that insurance services are offered by businesses in that state, such that consumers will mistakenly associate applicant's services with New Hampshire.

The appeal has been fully briefed. n2 An oral hearing was not requested.

n2 The Examining Attorney has objected to third-party registrations which applicant attached to its appeal brief as being untimely filed. However, as applicant points out in its reply brief, these registrations were previously properly made of record with applicant's request for reconsideration. Accordingly, they have been considered.

We affirm the refusal of registration.

We note at the outset that in the first Office action, the Examining Attorney refused registration under Section 2(3)(2) on the ground that the mark was primarily geographically descriptive if applicant's services came from the state of

New Hampshire, and alternatively under [*3] Section 2(e)(3), on the basis that the mark was primarily deceptively misdescriptive if applicant's services did not originate from that state. In response to this action, applicant stated that it "does not have a GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY office in the state of New Hampshire" and that "the services are offered from offices in New York, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Pennsylvania and New Jersey. Additionally, the Granite State Insurance Company itself is a Pennsylvania company, not a New Hampshire company." (Although applicant did not mention this in its response, its application identifies itself as a Delaware corporation.) On the basis of this response, the Examining Attorney withdrew the refusal based on geographic descriptiveness, and made a final refusal of registration on the ground that the mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive.

In its brief on appeal, applicant for the first time mentioned that its "assets are wholly owned by a New Hampshire-based company." The Examining Attorney commented in her brief that this fact would not cause the mark to be found geographically descriptive, rather than deceptively misdescriptive, and therefore there is no need [*4] to remand the application to her to consider this information. However, we must comment on applicant's lack of candor in its response to the first Office action, particularly because its statements were directed to overcoming the refusal on the ground of geographic descriptiveness.

This brings us to the question of whether GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive of applicant's identified services.

Whether a mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive is determined according to a two-part test: (1) is the mark's primary significance a generally known geographic location; and (2) would consumers reasonably believe the applicant's goods are connected with the geographic location in the mark, when in fact they are not. *In re Save Venice New York Inc.*, 259 F.3d 1346, 59 USPQ2d 1778 (Fed. Cir. 2001) *In re Wada*, 194 F.3d 1297, 52 USPQ2d 1539 (Fed. Cir. 1999); Institut National des Appelations d'Origine v. Vintners Int'l Co., 958 F.2d 1574, 22 USPQ2d 1190 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

The first question [*5] is whether the primary meaning of GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY is geographic. The Examining Attorney has asserted, and applicant does not argue this point, that the additional words INSURANCE COMPANY in the mark do not remove whatever geographic connotation GRANITE STATE may have. We agree. The words INSURANCE COMPANY, which have been disclaimed by applicant, are highly descriptive, if not generic, for the services. See In re Save Venice New York Inc., supra (it is not erroneous to consider the significance of each element within the composite mark in the course of evaluating the mark as a whole). It is clearly the term GRANITE STATE which is the dominant part of applicant's mark, and it is the significance of this term which determines the significance of the mark. n3

n3 Applicant has made the argument that GRANITE is the dominant part of the mark because the word STATE is used in various third-party registrations. We disagree. Because of the use of GRANITE STATE as a nickname for the state of New Hampshire, as discussed herein, it is the term GRANITE STATE which is dominant.

In support of her position that the primary significance of GRANITE STATE, and therefore GRANITE [*6] STATE INSURANCE COMPANY, is geographic, the Examining Attorney has submitted a dictionary definition showing that the nickname of New Hampshire is "Granite State," n4 and the following articles which refer to New Hampshire as the Granite State:

Begun in July 1993, BlueChoice provides health insurance for about 100,000 Granite State residents.

"The Union Leader" (Manchester, NH), January 20, 2000

Medical insurance reform-what to do about the exodus of health insurance providers from the Granite State. "The Union Leader," December 26, 2000

Now, the governor says her goal is to ensure every child in the Granite State has health insurance. "American Health Line," November 8, 2000

...according to a separate Progressive study of premium variance in New Hampshire, the cost for an identical auto insurance policy for Granite State motorists varies an average of \$332 every six months.

"New Hampshire Business Review," September 22, 2000

n4 Webster's New Geographical Dictionary.

Applicant argues that GRANITE STATE will not be recognized by consumers as a geographic location because the "Granite State" is not widely known as a nickname for New Hampshire. Applicant points [*7] out that of the above four articles submitted by the Examining Attorney, three were from New Hampshire publications, and the article from the national publication, "American Health Line," was a multistate update and the Granite State reference was under the subheading for New Hampshire. n5 Applicant also notes that the articles refer to "the Granite State" or "Granite State residents," rather than "Granite State" per se.

n5 In support of this point, applicant submitted with its brief a copy of the entire article from "American Health Line." Although it was not made of record during the examination of the application, because the Examining Attorney had previously made of record a portion of the article, we do not consider the submission to be untimely under Trademark Rule 2.142(d), and have considered the entire article. See *In re Bed & Breakfast Registry*, 791 F.2d 157, 229 USPQ 818 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant has also submitted the results of a search for "granite" which it did in the on-line Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary. no This search did not retrieve a listing for "granite state." From this fact, applicant contends [*8] that it is "highly likely that the nickname 'Granite State' is so scarcely known as to render it not even worthy of retrieval in an online dictionary that searches for a word or words in any related string of term." Brief, p. 5. It is not clear to us that the online dictionary will retrieve a word wherever it may be in the database, including words which may appear anywhere within a definition. The three "granites" which were retrieved by applicant's search all appear to be from the actual listing of words which are defined, and we find it hard to believe that in an entire dictionary the word "granite" would not be used as part of a definition. In any event, we take judicial notice of a listing for "Granite State as a separately defined term in the abridged The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, (c) 1970 ("Granite State. A nickname for New Hampshire").

no This was submitted as an exhibit to applicant's appeal brief, but we have considered it because the Board may take judicial notice of dictionary definitions. *University of Notre Dame du Lac v. J. C. Gourmet Food Imports Co., Inc., 213 USPQ 594 (TTAB 1982)*, aff'd, 703 F.2d 1372, 217 USPQ 505 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

[*9]

Nor are we persuaded by applicant's other arguments. The fact that the articles do not include a phrase that literally equates Granite State with New Hampshire, such as "Granite State, a nickname for New Hampshire," does not mean that "Granite State" is not readily recognized as a nickname for New Hampshire. Indeed, the fact that the articles refer to "the Granite State" or "Granite State residents" or "Granite State motorists" shows that readers of the articles are assumed to know that "Granite State" is another way of referring to the state of New Hampshire. Even if we accept that only people living in New Hampshire would know their state's nickname, these residents are among the consumers of applicant's insurance services. n7 The population of New Hampshire is large enough that "Granite State" cannot be considered an obscure place name. See *In re Loew's Theatres, Inc.*, 769 F.2d 764, 226 USPQ 865 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (Durango is not an obscure place name to the Mexican population of this country nor to reasonably informed non-Mexicans).

n7 Whether or not applicant currently offers its services to residents of New Hampshire, its identification is not restricted, and we must therefore presume that such residents are among its customers.
[*10]

Thus, the present situation is distinguishable from *Rockland Mortgage Corp. v. Shareholders Funding, Inc., 835 F. Supp. 182, 30 USPQ2d 1270 (D. Del. 1993)* upon which applicant relies. In Rockland, the Court held that Rockland is "an obscure geographic location in Northern Delaware" because, although the it is the name of a neighborhood in Delaware, it includes only one business (as well as residential condominiums, several estates, and a post office which does not deliver mail). GRANITE STATE, the nickname of a state of the United States with a significantly larger population than a "neighborhood," is not an obscure geographic place.

Moreover, it seems unlikely to us that only residents of New Hampshire would be aware of the state's nickname. Certainly people living in neighboring states such as Vermont, Maine and Massachusetts, because of their proximity to New Hampshire, are likely to know of the nickname. More importantly, as applicant has stated, "American Health Line" is a national publication, and its writer and editors must have considered the nickname to be well enough known nationally to have used it in the article which is [*11] of record.

It should also be noted that courts and this Board have, in the past, found state nicknames to be recognized geographic terms. In *In re Charles S. Loeb Pipes, Inc., 190 USPQ 238*, (TTAB 1975), a case remarkably similar to the case at hand, in that the applicant therein argued that OLD DOMINION would not be recognized as a nickname for the Commonwealth of Virginia, the Board stated, at 245:

It is clear from the documents made of record by the Examiner that this term is the accepted nickname for the State of Virginia. Obviously, not all people throughout the country are aware of this significance of "OLD DOMINION", and manifestly it is doubtful that many know the nicknames for all or even a portion of the fifty states. But, this is of no moment in a proceeding of this character for it is sufficient for our purposes that it is an accepted nickname for a state and would be recognized as such by a segment of the purchasing public.

Accordingly, we find that the Office has met its burden of proving that the first part of the test, namely that the primary significance of GRANITE STATE, and of GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY, is a that of a generally [*12] known geographic location.

The second prong of the test is whether consumers are likely to make a goods/place (or in this case, services/place) association between the identified services and New Hampshire, the place named in the mark. The Examining Attorney has made of record the following article from the NEXIS database which mentions three large New Hampshire-based insurance companies: n8

In the mid-1980s, 3 large New Hampshire-based insurance companies, Matthew Thornton, Health-source, and NH Blue Cross, dominated the New Hampshire heath insurance market. By the mid-1990s, 2 Massachusetts HMOs expanded into New Hampshire.

"Patient Care," January 15, 2001

n8 Several of the articles submitted by the Examining Attorney refer to the regulation of insurance activities, including Story 31 mentioned by the Examining Attorney at p. 7 of her brief, and articles referring to the New Hampshire Insurance Commissioner. They are not evidence that insurance services originate in New Hampshire. Other stories are taken from wire service reports, and because we cannot determine whether these reports were actually published, we cannot say that they have received any public exposure. We have not given these types of articles any consideration.

[*13]

The Examining Attorney has also submitted material taken from various websites for insurance companies which are located in New Hampshire and which advertise their insurance services. They include FIS Financial Insurance Services Inc. (www.fisins.com); Cronin & Gervino Insurance (www.cronin-gervino.com); Cullity Insurance (www.cullityinsurance.com); Hometown Insurance Agency (www.hometownins.com); and The Sadler Insurance Agency (www.sadler.com).

This evidence shows that insurance services do originate in the state of New Hampshire. In addition, applicant acknowledges that "there are insurance companies in every state in America." Brief, p. 8. This evidence is sufficient to establish the requisite goods/place association between insurance services and the state of New Hampshire. Applicant asserts, however, that this evidence is not sufficient to show that New Hampshire is known for insurance, in the same way that, as applicant puts it, North Carolina has a reputation for furniture, California for wine, the Southwest for silver jewelry, New York for bagels, and Maryland for crab. "The Examiner has cited no evidence that New Hampshire has a reputation or association [*14] with insurance greater than any other state in America. Moreover, there is no reason to believe that consumers would be more interested in purchasing Applicant's goods and services if they believed that the service did, in fact, emanate from New Hampshire." Brief, p. 9

The test applicant has posited is incorrect. As our primary reviewing Court reiterated in In re Loew's Theatres, Inc., supra at 867-68, while [In re Nantucket, 677 F.2d 95, 213 USPQ 889 (CCPA 1982)] "requires a goods/place association to support a refusal to register under § 2(e) (2), n9 it does not follow that such association embraces only instances where

the place is well-known or noted for the goods, a position which the *Nantucket* applicant, as well as [applicant herein], have urged. The court, in *Nantucket*, did not adopt that position. Rather, our precedent continues to hold that to establish a "primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive" bar, the PTO must show only a reasonable basis for concluding that the public is likely to believe the mark identifies the place from which the goods originate and that the goods do not come from there."

n9 This case was decided prior to the amendment of the Lanham Act, when the provisions of current sections 2(e) (2) and 2(e) (3) were combined as Section 2(e) (2).

[*15]

As for applicant's comment that there is no evidence that consumers would be more interested in purchasing applicant's services if they believed they emanated from New Hampshire, the materiality of the misrepresentation to the purchasing decision goes to the question of whether the mark is geographically deceptive under Section 2(a) of the Act, not whether it is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive under Section 2(e) (3).

In conclusion, we find that the Office has established that the primary significance of GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY is geographic, and has further established that consumers would reasonably believe applicant's services are connected with the geographic location in the mark, when in fact they are not. Accordingly, we hold that the mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive of applicant's services, and is prohibited from registration by Section 2(e) (3) of the Act.

Decision: The refusal of registration is affirmed.



This Opinion is Not Citable as Precedent of the TTAB

Mailed: December 3, 2004

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

Serial No. 76006037

Harvey B. Jacobson, Simor L. Moskowitz and Matthew J. Cuccias of Jacobson Holman, PLLC for Fashion Group S.N.C. Di Bertoncello Maria Luisa & Michela & C.

Barbara Gold, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 106 (Mary Sparrow, Managing Attorney).

Before Walters, Chapman and Rogers, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Rogers, Administrative Trademark Judge:

Flash & Partners S.r.l., a joint stock company of Italy, applied to register the stylized mark set forth below for a wide variety of clothing items in Class 25. The application was based on the stated intention of the

¹ Examining attorney James Marcus issued the initial and final refusals, and denied applicant's request for reconsideration. Ms. Gold issued two actions after the appeal was suspended and the application was remanded for further examination; and she later filed the Office's brief for the appeal.

Ser No. 76006037

applicant to use the mark in commerce. The application subsequently was assigned to Fashion Group S.N.C. Di Bertoncello Maria Luisa & Michela & C. and the assignment has been recorded in USPTO records at Reel 2517, Frame 0851.



There were many office actions and responses during prosecution of this application, both before applicant filed its notice of appeal and after it requested a remand of the appeal to make further evidentiary submissions and arguments. Suffice it to say that the original examining attorney made final a refusal of registration, which we discuss below, and applicant obviously has appealed. The only issue to be decided on appeal is that refusal of registration, made under Section 2(e)(3) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e)(3). Before addressing the refusal, however, we discuss amendments of the identification of goods and mark.

As filed, the application listed the following identification of goods: "dresses, coats, overcoats, raincoats, jackets, sports jackets, trousers, jeans, shorts, skirts, track suits, sweaters, shirts, t-shirts, cardigans, dressing gowns, night gowns, pyjamas, petticoats, socks, stockings, tights, gloves, scarves, foulards (neckerchiefs), belts, waistcoats, bathing suits, hats, caps, shoes, boots, sandals, slippers." When the identification of goods information in the application was entered into USPTO records, the Office inadvertently omitted the first five items -- "dresses, coats, overcoats, raincoats, jackets."

The original examining attorney, in the first office action, required applicant to make two minor amendments to the identification. Specifically, he required "pyjamas" be amended to "pajamas" and required "foulards (neckerchiefs)" be amended to "foulards, neckerchiefs." The examining attorney then set forth, in one block paragraph, the identification listed in USPTO records (not the identification listed in the application) but with the changes included. Applicant, in response, adopted the examining attorney's proposed amended identification.

Applicant did not point out that "dresses, coats, overcoats, raincoats, jackets" had been omitted. Further,

in briefing this appeal, both applicant and the examining attorney have recited the adopted amended identification as the operative identification. Accordingly, we consider the amended identification adopted by applicant to have entered the two minor changes required by the examining attorney and to have effectively deleted "dresses, coats, overcoats, raincoats, jackets" from the identification as filed.

As for the mark, though no mention of a deficiency in the drawing was made prior to appeal, on remand the substituted examining attorney asserted first, that the mark drawing was of poor quality and would not reproduce well, and second, that it impermissibly combined stylized lettering and typed lettering. This examining attorney required the applicant to submit an amended drawing "entirely in special form." Applicant then submitted an amended drawing entirely in typed form rather than in special form. The examining attorney nonetheless accepted this amendment. Thus, the mark involved in this appeal is now NO-L-ITA NORTH LITTLE ITALY.²

² We note applicant's statement, in footnote 2 of its main brief, "that its mark is NO-L-ITA stylized, and not NOLITA, such that this stylized, distinctive and arbitrary depiction of the mark is, in and of itself, a basis for overcoming the Examiner's 2(e) refusal." We take this not as a reference to the mark in the original drawing or as any indication that applicant now contends that NORTH LITTLE ITALY is not part of its mark, but merely as a contention that the NO-L-ITA term in its mark is "stylized" as compared to a presentation of that term as NOLITA.

Ser No. 76006037

In view of the above-discussed circumstances, the refusal to register now before us is a refusal to register NO-L-ITA NORTH LITTLE ITALY for "sports jackets, trousers, jeans, shorts, skirts, track suits, sweaters, shirts, t-shirts, cardigans, dressing gowns, night gowns, pajamas, petticoats, socks, stockings, tights, gloves, scarves, foulards, neckerchiefs, belts, waistcoats, bathing suits, hats, caps, shoes, boots, sandals, slippers." The refusal is based on Section 2(e)(3) of the Lanham Act, and the examining attorney's contention that the mark, when used, would be geographically deceptively misdescriptive of applicant's clothing goods.

As both the applicant and the examining attorney acknowledge:

[T]he PTO must deny registration under \$1052(e)(3) if (1) the primary significance of the mark is a generally known geographic location, (2) the consuming public is likely to believe the place identified by the mark indicates the origin of the goods bearing the mark, when in fact the goods do not come from that place, and (3) the misrepresentation was a material factor in the consumer's decision.

In re California Innovations, Inc., 329 F.3d 1334, 66

USPQ2d 1853, 1858 (Fed. Cir. 2003). See also, In re Les

Halles De Paris J.V., 334 F.3d 1371, 67 USPQ2d 1539 (Fed. Cir. 2003), and In re Consolidated Specialty Restaurants,

Inc., 71 USPQ2d 1921 (TTAB 2004).

Summary of Arguments

In particular, the examining attorney contends that that NO-L-ITA is shorthand for "North Little Italy" or "North of Little Italy"; that applicant's coupling of NORTH LITTLE ITALY with NO-L-ITA reinforces the perception of the hyphenated term as shorthand for the full phrase; that "NoLIta" is a geographic term designating a particular neighborhood or small section of the borough of Manhattan in New York City; that the area is known for retailing of trend-setting fashions; that the area and its association with trend-setting fashions and fashion designers would be known by consumers of applicant's identified goods; that origin of such goods in the place identified by the term "NoLIta" would be a material factor in the purchasing decisions of consumers; and that applicant's goods will not come from the place known as "NoLIta."

Applicant "does not dispute the evidence [made] of record [by the initial action refusing registration] that the 'Nolita' term may refer to an area of New York City," and has stated "that neither Applicant nor its goods come

³ The examining attorney contends that whether displayed as NOLITA, NO-L-ITA, or in any combination involving upper and lower case letters, the term has the same connotation. The record in this case reveals that various presentations of the term are employed, we use NO-L-ITA when referring to the term in applicant's proposed mark and "NoLIta" when referring to the area in Manhattan.

from any locale known as 'Nolita.'" Response to office action, April 19, 2001. Applicant, however, does dispute that "NoLIta" is anything more than a designation of a "small area [of New York City] recently 'dreamed up' by ... real estate brokers" so as to "brand" the area and thereby increase property values. Brief, p. 8 (emphasis by applicant). In essence, applicant contends that the name for this area of New York City is a "passing fancy" and already "on the wane," so that it cannot truly be considered a "generally known" geographic term. In

⁴ Further, in arguing that the refusal is implausible, applicant contends that because "Italy is a world famous center for fashion design and manufacture[] ... an effort to conceal the Italian origin of the Applicant and its goods in favor of a misrepresentation of U.S. origin would not be of any benefit to the Applicant."

⁵ In its brief, applicant asserts that "Nolita is not a continent, country, province, state, city, town, or topographical feature." P. 7. It first made this observation in its request for reconsideration of the final refusal, where applicant also posited that a neighborhood in a city may not qualify as a qeographic location under the Trademark Act. We disagree. Les Halles, supra, which vacated and remanded a Board decision finding that LE MARAIS for a restaurant in New York was geographically deceptively misdescriptive. The Federal Circuit's decision, however, clearly was based on the question whether there was a sufficient services-place association for consumers, between the New York restaurant and a neighborhood in Paris, France, and the related question whether, if such association existed, it would be material to patrons of the restaurant. The court did not question the Board's finding that the primary significance of the "Le Marais," neighborhood is that of a geographical place. See also, In re Gale Hayman Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1478, 1479 (TTAB 1990) ("A geographically descriptive term can indicate any geographic location on earth, including streets and areas of cities.").

addition, applicant contends that "NoLIta" does not appear in an otherwise comprehensive on-line database of the United States Geological Survey or in geographical dictionaries.

In its request to suspend the appeal and remand the application for consideration of additional evidence, applicant contended for the first time that "Nolita" is a given name and that the term cannot, therefore, "primarily and directly denote a geographical place" (emphasis by applicant). In support of this contention, applicant submitted various articles retrieved from the NEXIS database and a few web pages retrieved from the Internet. Applicant has not, in either of its briefs, reiterated or in any way argued this contention.

Applicant also contends that "Little Italy" is a term used for sections of numerous cities and that the phrase "north of Little Italy" is also widely used, in discussions of these places. Thus, applicant concludes "there is no one place exclusively referred to as 'Little Italy' or 'north of Little Italy.'" Brief, p. 10. For this reason, applicant concludes that prospective purchasers of its identified goods would not make a goods-place association between the goods and the New York City neighborhood of "NoLIta." Applicant's other argument why there is no

goods-place association is based on the issuance, by the USPTO, of two registrations for, respectively, NOLITA and NO LIMITS, NO BOUNDARIES, NOLITA as marks for various hair care products, as well as the issuance of a Notice of Allowance on an intent-to-use application to register NOLITA for jewelry. Applicant has argued at length why jewelry and hair care products should be considered fashion items or trends and asserts that the USPTO's issuance of the two registrations, and the Office's approval of the application, stand as evidence that the term NOLITA is not primarily geographically misdescriptive or primarily geographically descriptive because there is no goods-place association.

Finally, applicant contends that, even if we assume that we are dealing with a geographic term for a place generally known and that prospective purchasers of the goods listed in applicant's application would associate such goods with the place named in applicant's proposed mark, there is no evidence such association will materially affect purchasing decisions. Specifically, applicant argues in its main brief that the examining attorney did

⁶ The two registrations are owned by the same entity, have virtually identical identifications of goods and list the same dates of use. The application, still pending, is owned by a different entity.

not even address the materiality issue which was highlighted by our reviewing court in the California Innovations case; and in its reply brief applicant deconstructs the specific items of evidence on which the examining attorney relied in her brief. Applicant asserts, in essence, that there is no direct evidence of materiality; and that any evidence asserted to establish a goods-place association does not establish such a strong association that materiality could be inferred.

Examining Attorney's Evidence

The examining attorney must establish a prima facie case that the mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive. See In re Pacer Technology, 338 F.3d 1348, 67 USPQ2d 1629 (Fed. Cir. 2003). A prima facie case "requires 'more than a mere scintilla' of evidence, in other words, 'such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind would accept as adequate to support the finding.'" In re Oppedahl & Larson LLP, 373 F.3d 1171, 71 USPQ2d 1370, 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (citations omitted).

To support the initial refusal of registration, the original examining attorney introduced certain web pages and "five (5) representative Lexis/Nexis articles." The first web page is the "Nolita Neighborhood Guide" available

at "www.pleasantconcepts.com." This page says the neighborhood has boutiques and galleries, but does not specifically mention fashion or clothing items. boutiques and shops listed on the page include one which markets "modernized classics" but does not explain what these are, another that markets "handbags and accessories," a third that markets "handbags" and a forth that is listed simply as a "home" boutique and which we assume would stock items for one's home. The second web page [part of the text is cut off in the printout] features a "Soho and Nolita Tour" from "Big Onion Walking Tours," and references "fashionable galleries and boutiques" but does not specifically mention clothing and, moreover, lumps the "SoHo" and "NoLIta" neighborhoods together, so that we are unable to glean from this page whether one neighborhood or both would have the galleries and boutiques.

As for the five article excerpts retrieved by the examining attorney's LEXIS/NEXIS search for the terms "nolita" and "north little italy," these are items 1-4 and 7, of 127 articles. As noted earlier, the examining attorney terms them "representative." The first is from The Boston Globe and is an article about Boston's Italian neighborhood, the North End. The excerpt refers to New York's "NoLIta" as "the hip boutige-laden 'hood that

translates to 'north of Little Italy.'" The second excerpt is from Real Estate Weekly which notes the appointment of a leasing agent for a retail space "in NoLita," explains that the term means "north of Little Italy" and states it is a "developing center for up-and-coming fashion retailing." It also states: "Calypso, Jamin Puech, Sigerson Morrison, Zero, Mark Schwartz, Soco, Language, and Fresh are neighborhood staples." The third article excerpt is from The New York Post, is headlined "High Fashion Is Moving Uptown," and states: "After a passing flirtation with the quaint streets of NoLita (North of Little Italy), the avant garde Commes des Garcons label headed for Chelsea and muchhyped designer boutique Jeffrey opened its headquarters in the Meatpacking District, respectively." The fourth excerpt is from The New York Law Journal and reports on the success of certain leasing agents having "arranged for Illuminations, the national lifestyles retailer, to open its first store at 54 Spring Street, in the heart of 'NoLita' (North of Little Italy)."8 We have no information, however, as to whether a "lifestyles retailer" is a retailer of clothing items, items for the home, or

⁷ While this particular article excerpt does not reveal the wares of each of these "neighborhood staples," other evidence reveals that these include shoe, handbag and clothing retailers.

 $^{^{8}}$ We presume the topic is the retailer's first New York store.

excerpt, from The New York Times, is on bargain hotels for frugal travelers and reports: "Trendy and desirable, the downtown neighborhoods of SoHo, NoLita (North of Little Italy) and TriBeCa have fewer hotels than other parts of Manhattan -- and almost none I could afford." These five articles are dated between May and August 2000.

The evidence offered in support of the initial refusal, by itself, likely would be insufficient to allow the examining attorney to carry the Office's burden of proof, at least in regard to the goods-place association and materiality elements of the refusal. Later submissions, however, clearly show a goods-place association between "NoLIta" and fashion design and retailing. This association has been noted not only in New York publications but also in publications from other cities and on web sites geared to the fashion conscious and/or the fashion conscious prospective visitor to New York.

In support of the final refusal of registration, the original examining attorney introduced 15 additional LEXIS/NEXIS article excerpts. These are all dated between

⁹ The examining attorney stated in his office action that 14 excerpts were attached, but we count 15.

April 1997 and July 2001. The searches used to retrieve these excerpts were "nolita," or "nolita" and "north little italy," or "nolita" within five words of "north little italy," or "nolita" and "clothing." Of these 15 articles, 13 clearly discuss clothing design or retailing of clothing in "NoLIta." While most of the articles appeared in New York publications, others appeared in Houston, Dallas, and San Diego publications.

Next, the original examining attorney denied applicant's request for reconsideration and introduced reprints of pages from 10 websites; a reprint of the search results list from a search of the Internet utilizing the Yahoo search engine; and 10 additional article excerpts retrieved from LEXIS/NEXIS databases. The web pages include reprints from the New York pages of "Citysearch.com" ("find cutting edge fashion in Nolita" one page states; others list numerous clothing stores); a "Visualstore.com" news article on a new shop opening in "NoLIta" ("Designer Leeora Catalan, who counts Madonna, Destiny's Child, Gwen Stefani, Britney Spears and Jennifer Lopez among her celebrity clientele, has opened her own store, Shop Noir, in New York's Nolita district."); a "DigitalCity.com" listing of six of "The Best Women's Clothing Stores" in New York, which includes two stores

listed as located in "NoLIta"; a web page from "onemedia.com" ("brought to you by Zagat") featuring a profile of a "NoLIta" shoe store; web pages from the website of designer Margie Tsai ("MargieTsai.com") detailing that she has an "exclusive boutique" in "NoLIta," that her fashions have been featured in numerous magazines and that some are also available in other stores around the country; a page from a city guide to New York from "BlackVoices.com" ("NoLita, one of Manhattan's quietest neighborhoods, also is one of its most creative - and lately its most desirable location for fledgling artists and fashion designers to launch their own businesses"); a web page from the city guide to New York by "Trendcentral" ("[NoLita] was originally an authentic Italian neighborhood, but nowadays it's full of hipsters and fashion types who live, work, eat, and shop in the neighborhood").

The LEXIS/NEXIS article excerpts included with the denial of applicant's request for reconsideration were retrieved by searches for "nolita" or "nolita" within 5 words of "clothing or fashion," and are dated between January and May 2002. Nine out of the ten articles clearly discuss clothing, clothing designers, or clothing shops in the "NoLIta" neighborhood. Eight of these nine articles

appeared in New York publications, and one appeared in $\underline{\text{The}}$ Boston Globe.

The search results list from the Yahoo search per se is not probative. See <u>In re Remacle</u>, 66 USPQ2d 1222, 1223 n.2 (TTAB 2002).

The second examining attorney, following suspension of the appeal and remand of the application, issued two office actions. The first of these actions did not introduce any additional evidence in support of the refusal. The second action introduced 36 more LEXIS/NEXIS article excerpts. These are dated between March 1998 and January 2004. While the office action does not indicate the search query used to retrieve these excerpts, it appears that the search was for the term "nolita" and either "Italy" or "New York," as these are the terms that appear in bold in the excerpts. This search returned articles that appeared in New York publications, but also articles in The Chicago Tribune, Washingtonian magazine, the Providence Journal-Bulletin, The Patriot Ledger (Quincy, MA), The Times Union (Albany, New York), The San Francisco Chronicle, The Baltimore Sun, Fortune magazine, Entrepeneur magazine, The Bergen Record (New Jersey), Footwear News, and Real Estate Weekly. agree with applicant's assessment (brief, p. 6) that these 36 excerpts have been presented in extremely truncated

fashion; and many which might otherwise have been found probative of a goods-place association merely are probative of the meaning of "NoLIta." Nonetheless, 14 of the article excerpts clearly associate "NoLIta" and clothing or footwear; another two associate the neighborhood with handbags; and two associate it with jewelry or "chokers."

Is the Primary Significance of the Proposed Mark a Generally Known Geographic Location?

"Under the first prong of the test - whether the mark's primary significance is a generally known geographic location - a composite mark such as the applicant's proposed mark must be evaluated as a whole. It is not erroneous, however, for the examiner to consider the significance of each element within the composite mark in the course of evaluating the mark as a whole."

In re Save Venice New York, Inc., 259 F.3d 1346, 1352, 59

USPQ2d 1778, 1782 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (citations omitted).

On the evidence of record, we find the primary significance of the proposed mark is that of a geographic location. The record includes dozens of article excerpts retrieved from the NEXIS database that show "NoLIta" to be a particular place and a term derived from the phrase

"North Little Italy" or "North of Little Italy."¹⁰

Moreover, because applicant's proposed mark includes the phrase NORTH LITTLE ITALY, there is little, if any, likelihood that a consumer would perceive the NO-L-ITA element as anything other than shorthand reference for the longer phrase.¹¹

The next question is whether this geographic location is "generally known." Applicant has argued, in essence, that the "NoLIta" neighborhood certainly is not generally known outside New York City, and may not even be a widely used term within that city. On this record, however, we have no difficulty finding that the neighborhood is generally known. In New York, it would be known among real estate professionals, artists, fashion designers and those who follow the retailing of clothing and other designer items. Even outside New York City, the neighborhood and

¹⁰ Applicant and the examining attorney have debated whether it is significant that most of the evidence shows "NoLIta" is considered to be shorthand for "North of Little Italy" rather than for "North Little Italy." We find the difference insignificant, for no matter the derivation of the term "NoLIta," it identifies only one place.

¹¹ As noted previously, that although applicant at one point during prosecution of its application argued that "Nolita" can be a given name, it did not press the argument on appeal. Even had it done so, we would find the argument unavailing, both because given names are not usually hyphenated, as is the term NO-L-ITA, and also because the phrase NORTH LITTLE ITALY in the mark leaves little room for NO-L-ITA to be perceived as anything other than shorthand for the full phrase.

the items that are designed and/or retailed within the neighborhood would be generally known among those who follow the fashion industry, as well as among travel agents or travel writers who would be expected to know of the diverse neighborhoods within a particular city that travelers might want to visit; and it can scarcely be doubted that New York City is a significant tourist destination, whether for domestic or international travelers. Finally, even casual readers of newspapers from Boston, Baltimore, Chicago, Providence, Quincy (MA), Bergen (NJ), Austin, Dallas, Houston, San Diego and San Francisco, as well as casual visitors to travel-related websites featuring information on New York, may have read of the neighborhood.

Applicant's argument that New York City real estate agents "dreamed up" the name and did so only "recently" is not persuasive of a contrary result. It is largely irrelevant how the name came to be and only relevant what significance it has to consumers. Moreover, the NEXIS article excerpts show that the neighborhood has been called "NoLIta" for years. We likewise find unpersuasive applicant's argument that the use of the name for the neighborhood is a "passing fancy" or "on the wane." This argument is based on one or two of the articles retrieved

from NEXIS and a single website visited by applicant, and is outweighed by the many other articles of recent vintage that reveal no indication that use of the name is waning.

We also find unpersuasive applicant's argument based on its submission of evidence showing the existence of other "Little Italy" neighborhoods in the United States, and the consequent use of the phrase "north of Little Italy" to describe places north of those neighborhoods. this argument, applicant essentially contends that prospective consumers of clothing will not necessarily think of only one of these places, i.e., the New York City neighborhood, and may think of other places. This evidence, however, does not establish that any of these places are also referred to by the term NO-L-ITA. The mere possibility that a resident of, for example, San Francisco, might consider clothing marketed under applicant's mark to indicate origin of the clothing in some place north of that city's Little Italy neighborhood does not establish the registrability of applicant's mark. Rather, it only establishes that such a consumer would still misapprehend the geographic source of applicant's goods. 12

¹² In addition, applicant has not put any evidence into the record that would tend to establish that people in other cities that have a Little Italy neighborhood are accustomed to seeing those neighborhoods designated by terms such as NO-L-ITA, whereas the record does reveal that NO-L-ITA is recognized in New York City.

Ser No. 76006037

In sum, as to the first factor under the California Innovations analysis, we find that NO-L-ITA and applicant's composite mark NO-L-ITA NORTH LITTLE ITALY are geographic in significance; and we find the place to which both the hyphenated term and composite mark refer is generally known.

Is There a Goods-Place Association Between "NoLIta" and Clothing?

The evidence submitted by the examining attorney shows that the neighborhood known as "NoLIta" is associated with various things: narrow streets and smaller buildings than in nearby neighborhoods, which mean less vehicle traffic; easy pedestrian access to boutiques and specialized shops and cafes; and that the boutiques and shops may market clothing, shoes, jewelry, handbags, cosmetics, or housewares. The preponderance of the evidence, however, shows an association of the New York City neighborhood with clothing designers and retailers, many of whom apparently have found the neighborhood a suitable location for their businesses.

We find the record sufficient to establish a goodsplace association between clothing items and the New York
neighborhood known as "NoLIta." We are not persuaded that
we should reach a contrary result by applicant's argument

based on the issuance, by the USPTO, of two registrations for, respectively, NOLITA and NO LIMITS, NO BOUNDARIES, NOLITA, for various hair care products. First, applicant's argument is predicated on a finding that hair care products are "fashion items." While we do not disagree that the styling of hair may be a matter of fashion, we find no support for the contention that, for example, a hair styling gel per se is a fashion product. Second, even if we accepted applicant's contention that hair care products per se were fashion items, we would not find the Office's issuance of the two registrations in question probative that consumers would not make a goods-place association between clothing and the New York City neighborhood known as "NoLIta." In re Nett Designs Inc., 236 F.3d 1339, 57 USPQ2d 1564 (Fed. Cir. 2001).13

Is it Material to Consumers that Clothing Come From or Be Designed in the "NoLIta" Neighborhood of New York City?

Evidence establishing a goods-place association, as we have found to be present in this case, raises "an inference of deception based on the likelihood of a goods-place

¹³ The third-party application on which applicant relies has even less probative value than the third-party registrations. See Zappia-Paradiso, S.A. v. Cojeva Inc., 144 USPQ 101 (TTAB 1964) (Information regarding applications evidences only that they were filed on a particular date, even if the marks therein have been published for opposition).

association that [does] not reflect the actual origin of the goods. A mere inference, however, is not enough to establish the deceptiveness [and] consequence of non-registrability under ... NAFTA and the amended Lanham Act [which] place an emphasis on actual misleading of the public." California Innovations, 66 USPQ2d at 1857. Thus a showing of materiality is required. Id.

If there "is evidence that goods like applicant's or goods related to applicant's are a principal product of the geographical area named by the mark" or "the place is noted for the particular goods," deceptiveness is likely.

California Innovations, 66 USPQ2d at 1857, citing, respectively, In re House of Windsor, 221 USPQ 53, 57 (TTAB 1983) and In re Loew's Theatres, Inc., 769 F.2d 764, 226 USPQ 865, 868 n.6 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

In California Innovations, the appeal was limited to the USPTO's refusal to register that applicant's mark for insulated bags and wraps. While there was a great deal of evidence in the case, "[a]t best, the evidence of a connection between California and insulated bags and wraps [was] tenuous." California Innovations, 66 USPQ2d at 1859. Thus, the appeal was remanded to the Board for further proceedings, including review of the evidence to see if it supported a finding of materiality. Id.

Ser No. 76006037

The record in this case establishes much more than a tenuous connection between "NoLIta" and clothing items.

Instead, the record establishes that clothing designers are concentrated in the neighborhood and that it is known for its trend-setting and unique clothing boutiques. Some of the evidence speaks directly to consumers' recognition that "NoLIta" is noted for its fashionable clothing. See, for example:

Headline: Boutiques find niche in chic kids' apparel; Buyers often are older, wealthier "Puma shoes and Nolita T-shirts (referring to a New York City neighborhood 'north of Little Italy') have been popular with adults who are now dressing their tykes in them."
Chicago Tribune, January 26, 2004.

"...Jonsson exudes downtown chic. 'But if I want to walk around looking at things, I go east,' he said, referring to the upstart neighborhood Nolita (North of Little Italy). 'It's got more new designers. It's more fun. It's what SoHo used to be.'"

Newsday (New York, NY), February 18, 2002.

"...the area has been perceived as a destination for shoppers looking for something special that cannot be found in ordinary stores. ...fashion publications seek out the designers located there for new trends in clothing and accessories.

Tracy Feith offers his concept of fashion to

...Tracy Feith offers his concept of fashion to women who want to make a statement. ... '[Feith's] Customers come here for the designer look.'"

The New York Times, May 13, 2001.

Ms. Uprichard, who has been a downtown designer since the 1980's, originally selling in the East Village, said that NoLIta has flourished as an alternative fashion mecca because of all the

moneyed shoppers drawn to the greater SoHo district. Another factor has been customers' hunger for clothing that is not mass marketed." The New York Times, May 31, 1998.

"...Manhattan has all the same chains,' says
Barbara White-Sax, a New Jersey resident who
often comes to NoLiTa to shop. 'This area truly
offers things you can't find anywhere else.'"
Crain's New York Business, April 21, 1997.

Washingtonian magazine article (December 2003) which, although truncated, clearly discusses the opening of high fashion shoe stores in the Washington metropolitan area, "so the hip girls will be suitably shod. Fashionable types used to shopping in New York's SoHo and NoLita won't have to make an Amtrak run anymore..."; an excerpt from Footwear News (July 28, 2003), which reports that a new boutique in Chicago is selling brands selected by, among other actions, "patrolling New York's Nolita neighborhood"; and a web site posting, by an individual reviewing the "NoLIta" neighborhood (www.iagora.com's "iTravel" site; review posted by "Sacha," June 15, 2000):

Soho stands for "South of Houston," Tribeca stands for "Triangle Beneath Canal," and then there is Nolita: "North of Little Italy." Extremely cool little fashion boutiques have sprouted up in the last couple of years and so the real estate people gave it a name so as to make more money. But the area definitely is distinctive enough to merit its own name.

Ser No. 76006037

In sum, we find the evidence of record sufficient to establish that principal products of the "NoLIta" neighborhood, and the products for which it is chiefly noted, are fashionable clothing items. Moreover, the evidence establishes that, for consumers, the origin of clothes in "NoLIta" is a material factor in their shopping decisions. Thus, we find the evidence establishes the third prong of the California Innovations test.

Decision: The refusal of registration under
Section 2(e)(3) of the Lanham Act is affirmed.



THIS DISPOSITION IS NOT CITABLE AS PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB

> Mailed: July 27, 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

Judy McCutchin v. Jan DuBell.

Opposition No. 91156821 to application Serial No. 78105321

Kurt Koenig of Koenig & Associates for Judy McCutchin.
Jan DuBell, pro se.

Before Hairston, Walters, and Drost, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Drost, Administrative Trademark Judge:

On January 28, 2002, Jan DuBell (applicant) applied to register the mark BIG "D" REAL ESTATE (standard character form) on the Principal Register for "real estate brokerage" services in Class 36. Serial No. 78105321. The application contained a disclaimer of the term "Real Estate" and an allegation of a date of first use of May 1, 1999, and a date of first use in commerce of June 22, 2000.

On May 23, 2003, Judy McCutchin (opposer) filed a notice of opposition on the ground that the "phrase 'BIG D' is synonymous with the city of Dallas, Texas. 'BIG D' is

commonly used and known to refer to Dallas. When 'BIG D' is used in conjunction with the remaining portion of the Mark, namely, 'REAL ESTATE,' for use on 'real estate brokerage' services, the mark is highly descriptive." Notice of Opposition at 2. Opposer further maintains that "Applicant's registration of the descriptive phrase 'BIG 'D' REAL ESTATE' is akin to the United States Patent and Trademark Office granting a monopoly in a geographic term."

Id. Applicant has denied the salient allegations of the notice of opposition.

The Record

The record consists of the file of the involved application and eleven notices of reliance filed by opposer and twenty-two notices of reliance by applicant concerning various printed materials from newspapers, magazines, and other sources. Applicant has also moved to strike opposer's eleven notices of reliance on numerous grounds. Regarding the second, third, and fourth notices of reliance, applicant objects on the ground that they do "not meet the procedural requirements of Rule 2.122(e)." In her brief (unnumbered page 10), applicant argues that she "is not familiar with

¹ In an Order dated July 23, 2004 at 3, the board struck applicant's fifth, sixth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, thirteenth (except for Exhibit B), seventeenth, and twenty-second notices of reliance.

² The July 23, 2004, board order (p. 2) denied applicant's motions to strike all of opposer's notices on the ground of untimeliness.

the source, 'Nexis' articles submitted by Notice of Reliance." The TBMP (§ 704.08) provides that "[i]n lieu of the actual 'printed publication or a copy of the relevant portion thereof,' the notice of reliance may be accompanied by an electronically generated document which is the equivalent of the printed publication or relevant portion, as, for example, by a printout from the NEXIS computerized library of an article published in a newspaper or magazine of general circulation."3 Therefore, we overrule all of applicant's objections to opposer's NEXIS evidence, i.e., second, third, fourth, and sixth through eleventh notices of reliance. We add that the sixth, seventh, and eight notices of reliance consist primarily of NEXIS printouts of wire service stories. While we will consider these wire service printouts, we give them decidedly less weight, and, in fact, we find them to be cumulative. In re Cell Therapeutics Inc., 67 USPQ2d 1795, 1798 (TTAB 2003) ([W]hile we are not saying that newswire stories are of the same probative value as are stories appearing in magazines and newspapers, we think that the situation has changed such

³ "NEXIS is a computerized database containing articles in text-only format from hundreds of periodicals spanning many years."

New York Times Co. v. Tasini, 533 U.S. 383, 59 USPQ2d 1001, 1002 (2001).

⁴ Applicant's brief (unnumbered page 10) maintains that "Opposer has actually edited text in 'Nexis' articles submitted by Notice of Reliance." Applicant does not explain this point or discuss any specific examples. Inasmuch as we cannot discern any irregularities, we will consider these NEXIS printouts.

that said newswire stories have decidedly more probative value than they did when this Board decided the <u>Professional Tennis Council</u> [In re Men's International Professional <u>Tennis Council</u>, 1 USPQ2d 1917, 1918 n.5 (TTAB 1986)] and <u>Appetito Provisions</u> [In re Appetito Provisions Co., 3 USPQ2d 1553, 1555 n.6 (TTAB 1987)] cases").

Applicant also objects to the documents concerning applicant's website that are included with opposer's first notice of reliance. Extracts from websites are not normally appropriate for submission by a notice of reliance. § 704.08 (2d ed. rev. 2004) ("The element of selfauthentication cannot be presumed to be capable of being satisfied by information obtained and printed from the Internet"). However, there is an exception if the documents were produced in response to interrogatories or requests for admission. 37 CFR § 2.120(j)(3)(i). Opposer maintains that "the website was provided as part of the Applicant['s] Response to Interrogatories and Applicant['s] Admissions by Applicant and is admissible." Opposer's opposition to motion to strike at 3. While the website address may have been provided in response to interrogatories and requests for admissions, we note that at least some of the website printouts are dated "3/30/04 9:26 AM." Inasmuch as "Opposer's First Notice of Reliance" is dated April 9, 2004 (10 days later), it does not appear that those particular

documents were submitted in response to a request for admissions or interrogatories. Furthermore, opposer did not comply with the provisions of Rule 120(j)(3)(i) inasmuch as she did not file a "copy of the interrogatory and answer thereto with any exhibit made part of the answer, or a copy of the request for admission and any exhibit thereto ... together with the notice of reliance." Therefore, we agree with applicant that the documents in opposer's first notice of reliance are not properly authenticated and we will not consider them.

Finally, we overrule applicant's objection to the exhibits in opposer's fifth notice of reliance on the ground that they do "not meet the procedural requirements of Rule 2.122(e)." These documents were properly submitted under 37 CFR 2.120(j)(3)(i).

Standing

Applicant admits that "Judy McCutchin ('Opposer') is a licensed real estate agent only in the State of Texas.

Opposer sells residential real estate in Dallas, Texas."

Opposer is a competitor of applicant." TBMP § 704.06(b) (2d ed. rev. 2004). Applicant is also a real estate broker in Dallas, Texas. Opposer's Fifth Notice of Reliance, Exhibit C, Admission 10 (Applicant admitted, inter alia, that its website states that "we offer extensive [r]elocation assistance for companies and individuals locating into or

out of Dallas. Experts in Residential and Ranch properties, we have over 20 years combined experience in Dallas real estate").

It is recognized that a party need not be a manufacturer or seller of the goods in connection with which a descriptive, misdescriptive, or merely ornamental designation is used in order to object to the registration thereof. It is sufficient that the party objecting to such registration be engaged in the manufacture and/or sale of the same or related goods and that the product in question be one that could be produced in the normal expansion of that person's business. If the designation in question is found to be merely descriptive, merely ornamental or the like, damage is presumed since a registration thereof with the statutory presumptions afforded the registration would be inconsistent with the right of another person to use these designations or designs in connection with the same or similar goods as it would have the right to do when and if it so chooses... Thus, opposer as a competitor of applicant is a proper party to challenge applicant's right of registration.

Federal Glass Co. v. Corning Glass Works, 162 USPQ 279, 282-83 (TTAB 1969). See also McCarthy, McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition, § 20:11 ("Standing is presumed when the mark sought to be registered is allegedly descriptive of the goods and the opposer is one who has a sufficient interest in using the descriptive term in his business").

Inasmuch as opposer is a competitor of applicant who would have an interest in using the term "Big 'D' Real Estate," opposer has standing to oppose this application.

In addition, opposer does not need to prove priority in this case because the issue is geographical descriptiveness.

Remington Products Inc. v. North American Philips Corp., 892

F.2d 1576, 13 USPQ2d 1444, 1449 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("There is one point on which we fully agree with the board. It appears in footnote 6 of the board opinion and reads: The emphasis each party has given to the first use of 'TRAVEL CARE' by defendant in terms of priority is not warranted. The issue here is descriptiveness ... and therefore priority of use is not an element in proving the case"). Also, opposer does not need to show it is using the mark. "It is enough to show such interest as will justify the conclusion that damage to it will ensue if the use of such term by it or its customers to describe their goods is denied." Meehanite Metal Corp. v. International Nickel Co., 262 F.2d 805, 120 USPQ 293, 294 (CCPA 1959).

Issue

In its brief (p. 5), opposer sets out the issue as follows: "whether the mark sought to be registered, namely, 'BIG D REAL ESTATE' (with 'real estate' disclaimed) is the name of a place generally known to the public and that the public would make a services/place association." Applicant argues (Brief at unnumbered p. 10) that "'BIG D' alone has not been proven to solely denote any particular service or location." Therefore, the only question is whether

⁵ Applicant also argues (Brief at unnumbered p. 10) that she is "the exclusive user of the phrase 'BIG D' in connection with the words 'REAL ESTATE' in commerce and has acquired distinctiveness for her business name." However, applicant has not made the question of her mark's acquired distinctiveness an issue by

applicant's mark "BIG 'D' REAL ESTATE" for real estate brokerage services "is primarily geographically descriptive" of these services. 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e)(2).

The Board has set out the following test to use in determining whether a mark is primarily geographically descriptive:

[T]he Trademark Examining Attorney would need to submit evidence to establish a public association of the goods with that place if, for example, a genuine issue is raised that (1) the place named in the mark may be so obscure or remote that purchasers would fail to recognize the term as indicating the geographical source of the goods to which the mark is applied or (2) an admitted well-recognized term may have other meanings, such that the term's geographical significance may not be the primary significance to prospective purchasers. Where, on the other hand, there is no genuine issue that the geographical significance of a term is its primary significance and where the geographical place is neither obscure nor remote, a public association of the goods with the place may ordinarily be presumed from the fact that the applicant's own goods come from the geographical place named in the mark.

In re Handler Fenton Westerns, Inc., 214 USPQ 848, 849-50 (TTAB 1982).

We begin by noting that the evidence does not show that there is a city known officially as "Big D." Instead, the question is whether "Big D" is a nickname for Dallas, Texas, and therefore, equally primarily geographically descriptive. In re Charles S. Loeb Pipes, Inc., 190 USPQ 238, 246 (TTAB

asserting an affirmative defense. Colonial Arms Corp. v. Trulock Firearms Inc., 5 USPQ2d 1678, 1680 $\frac{1}{1}$ n.5 (TTAB 1987). Nor was the issue tried by consent. Therefore, we will not consider this issue raised in applicant's brief.

1975) ("It is clear from the foregoing that nicknames and even abbreviations and maps of geographical areas and the names of the geographical area that they identify are, for purposes of registration, identical, and that the same criteria for registration must necessarily apply thereto").

Opposer has submitted numerous printouts to show that "Big D" is a recognized nickname for Dallas. A sample of this evidence (emphasis added) is set out below.

DALLAS IS LOW ON LIST OF 'MARKETS TO WATCH': Even Baltimore and Philadelphia are better bets than Big D, if you buy the survey results. Dallas also ranks behind all the other Texas cities in the survey. Dallas Morning News, October 26, 2001.

Big D attracts an estimated 13 million visitors annually - 40 percent of whom come for business, said Greg Elam, senior vice president of communications for the Dallas Convention and Visitors Bureau. Dallas Morning News, June 3, 2001.

Big D used to mean Big T. During the 1970s and mid-80s, World Championship Tennis, the Virginia Slims women's tour and the Association of Tennis Players were all based in the Dallas area.

Dallas Morning News, May 6, 2001.

Dallas, as well as many other communities, followed the technology tide to become one of the nation's leading cyber cities ... The "Big D" did not make its paradigm shift from an energy-based economy to a technology hub without error...

Tulsa World, April 11, 2001.

Officials are extolling Dallas' cosmopolitan virtues, as well as feeding the **Big D** pop culture image with a gala dinner Monday at the Southfork Ranch Ewing Mansion.

Fort Worth Star-Telegram, March 6, 2001.

The agreement is expected to be formally announced this week, Amtrak officials said Tuesday. No start date or

ticket prices have been set for daily passenger service on the "Crescent Star" between **Big D** and the Big Apple — the first direct service from Dallas to New York in at least 40 years.

Dallas Morning News, February 7, 2001.

Mr. Monger began buying depressed rental real estate in Dallas, where he came to visit friends. He spent his weekends in $\operatorname{\textbf{Big}}\ \mathtt{D}$ as a "de-slum" landlord, renovating his properties.

Dallas Morning News, October 15, 2000.

With flight delays on the rise this year at large airports around the country, they and thousands of other air travelers are taking advantage of an alternate way to get in and out of **Big D**: Dallas Love Field.

Dallas Morning News, September 24, 2000.

Big game in **Big D**: Oklahoma and Texas play Saturday in Dallas, and this year's hype appears legitimate. Daily News of Los Angeles, October 5, 2000.

Going to **Big D:** Ponciano said an agreement was reached for the Matadors to play against Division I Southern Methodist next November. SMU will pay the Matadors \$125,000 to make the trip to Dallas. Daily News of Los Angeles, November 8, 1998.

Westwood: You should be warmly embraced in Denver. One of the city's nicknames is "D-TOWN." Kyle Gass: Excellent. Dallas's nickname is "the Big D," which was nice, 'cause I'm really into O-Town right now.

Denver Westwood, April 23, 2001.

The question is whether anyone in Dallas has noticed. **Big D** and environs are Cowboy country. Denver Westwood, October 3, 1996.

In fact, prior to 2000 Dallas led the Texas film pack. That year Austin surged ahead with its best year to date behind almost \$125\$ million in film projects, while **Big D** had about \$89\$ million.

Austin American-Statesman, September 5, 2003.

Big appetites in **Big D**Dallas Cowboy's owner Jerry Jones pitched in a recipe for salmon croquettes.

Austin American-Statesman, January 8, 2003.

For example, Dallas is home to JC Penney and most of its advertisements are shot in **Big D**.

Austin American-Statesman, October 20, 2002.

Big trouble in **Big D** for Big Don and Little Donnie: Don Nelson still had a little of his reputation left when he arrived in Dallas, not that it lasted long. Austin American-Statesman, January 8, 2000.

The evidence of record establishes that Dallas is not an obscure place and that "Big D" is a common nickname for Dallas.

Furthermore, we note that much of applicant's business is conducted in the City and County of Dallas. Applicant admits that her "main office happens to be located in the Dallas, Texas metro area" and that she "is involved in the North Texas real estate market, among other places, and is a member of the Greater Dallas Board of Realtors."

Applicant's Brief at 5 and 7. Applicant also responded to opposer's request for admissions as follows:

On applicant's website located at www.bigdrealestate.net, "around BIG D" is immediately followed by computer links entitled "Dallas Chamber of Commerce," "Dallas Convention & Visitors Bureau," "Locate Dallas Properties," Dallas Area Aerial Photos," "Dallas Morning News," "Dallas Photos," "Dallas Schools," "Dallas Weather," and "Texas, Our Texas."

Response: ADMIT, because Applicant's website has many resources features and links which may be of interest to those seeking applicant's services.

Opposer's Fifth Notice of Reliance, Exhibit A.

Applicant's website located at www.bigdrealestate.net features the following notation: "Big D Real Estate" provides full coverage if the Dallas metropolitan area

and surrounding North Texas counties. We are intimately acquainted with all aspects of life in Dallas/Fort Worth and we pride ourselves in our knowledge of this thriving cosmopolitan area. At BIG D Real Estate $^{\text{m}}$ we offer extensive Relocation assistance for companies and individuals relocating into or out of Dallas. Experts in Residential and Ranch properties, we have over 20 years combined experience in Dallas real estate. Let our knowledgeable BIG D^{m} agents help you create a worry free real estate transaction."

Response: ADMIT, because Applicant's website has many resources features and links which may be of interest to those seeking applicant's services. Applicant's website is located in the Dallas, Texas area and is included for identification purposes. The site is intended, and has resulted in business relationships all over the United States and is displayed internationally.

Opposer's Fifth Notice of Reliance, Exhibit C.

The record supports a conclusion that at least a significant portion of applicant's brokerage services are focused on and located in Dallas, Texas. A public association of the goods with the place may ordinarily be presumed from the fact that the applicant's own goods come from the geographical place named in the mark. Handler Fenton Westerns, 214 USPQ at 850. The same rule applies for services. Indeed, FOUNTAIN HILLS was held to be geographically descriptive for the real estate services located in Fountain Hills, Arizona.

If a term names an obscure or remote place, an association between the term and particular goods or services may not be made. Applicant's argument that Fountain Hills, Arizona must be widely recognized all across America in order for the refusal to register to be proper under Section 2(e)(2) is not well taken, however. Whether there is an association between the name of the place and the services is determined not in

the abstract, but rather in connection with the services with which the mark is used, and from the perspective of the relevant public for those services. In the case at hand, the relevant purchasing public for applicant's service of developing real estate includes people considering purchasing real property in Fountain Hills, Arizona. Whether or not they presently live in Arizona, these people are aware (or will become aware) of the fact that "Fountain Hills" is the name of that place where applicant is located, that is, the town promoted by applicant as a wonderful place to buy real estate. In the context of applicant's services, the place is not obscure or remote. A clearer association between these services and this place name is difficult to imagine.

In re MCO Properties Inc., 38 USPQ2d 1154, 1156 (TTAB 1995).

Similarly, when prospective customers in Dallas, Texas, encounter "BIG D Real Estate" brokerage services an association between the services and the place name is clear.

Opposer's evidence has established its prima facie case that there is a public association of the term "Big D" with Dallas, Texas. Applicant argues (Brief at unnumbered page 7) that there "have been no formal surveys, public polls, or other professional research to determine that any percentage of the population relates the phrase 'BIG D" only to Dallas, Texas." Such evidence is not required. Accord Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. Continental General Tire Inc., 70

USPQ2d 1067, 1079 n.29 (TTAB 2003) (citation omitted) ("As applicant argues, it is true that opposer has not provided survey evidence about the perceptions of ordinary consumers when faced with the term "intelligent" when used in

connection with tires. However, evidence of the relevant public's understanding can be obtained from newspapers, magazines, trade journals and other publications without demonstrating the effect of this evidence on the consuming public. There is nothing in trademark law or practice that requires a plaintiff to offer up a survey to support an allegation of descriptiveness").

One of applicant's main arguments is that, in effect, a well-recognized term may have other meanings "such that the term's geographical significance may not be the primary significance to prospective purchasers." Handler Fenton Westerns, 214 USPQ at 850. Applicant has submitted numerous documents to show that many entities throughout the United States incorporate the term "Big D" as part of their trade or business names. See, e.g., Applicant's second notice of reliance (Kansas - Big D Oil Co., Big D Farms Inc.; Kentucky - Big D Mart Inc., Big D Mining Co. Inc.; Louisiana - Big D Farms Inc., Big D Seafood; Maine - Big D Video Plus Inc.). Applicant also identifies articles in which the term "Big D' refers to something other than Dallas. See, e.g., Applicant's eighth notice of reliance (Neighborhood News, November 5, 1998 - "State Rep. Margaret Long had predicted Tuesday morning that her 38th District seat in the Kansas House would continue bearing the label of a 'Big D' - for Democrat - after the votes were counted"); New York Times,

October 8, 2002 - "That Big D in Devils still stands for Defense").

In order for a term to be *primarily* geographically descriptive, the term does not have to be "solely geographic" as applicant suggests. Brief at unnumbered p. 7. Indeed, if that were the case, many well-known geographic locations would not be geographically descriptive. This is not what our case law holds.

Applicant urges that London is not primarily geographical because the word has other meanings and usages and because there are a number of other towns named London, but the other meanings and usages are minor and obviously derived from the geographical meaning, and the existence of other towns named London does not deprive the word of its primary geographical meaning. Applicant also urges that London is used as a surname, but this is of no moment. I do not see that there can be any reasonable argument that the word London is not primarily geographical.

In re London Gramaphone Corp., 98 USPQ 362, 363 (Ex. Chief 1953). See also In re Juleigh Jeans Sportswear Inc., 24 USPQ2d 1694, 1697 (TTAB 1992) (While London has surname significance, it remains geographically descriptive); In re The Cookie Kitchen, Inc., 228 USPQ 873, 874 (TTAB 1986). ("Even if the listings it submitted are accepted as fact, all they show is that there is cocktail known as a Manhattan and that various kinds of businesses in various places incorporate "MANHATTAN" as one part of multiple-part trade names. This simply does not rebut the prima facie case made by the Examining Attorney"). Similarly, the evidence does

not convince us that the numerous references to various Big
D businesses would have any substantial impact on purchasers
in the United States that would detract from the Dallas,
Texas significance of the term. Simple listings of Dun &
Bradstreet reports and telephone books do not prove that
they have much impact on prospective purchasers.

Certainly, when the term "Big D" is used in association with
real estate services in Dallas, Texas, we are convinced that
the term would primarily geographically describe the fact
that the services are rendered in Dallas.

Finally, we add that the "addition of a generic term to a geographic term does not avoid the refusal of primary geographic descriptiveness." In re Carolina Apparel, 48 USPQ2d 1542, 1543 (TTAB 1998). Here, the addition of the generic and disclaimed term, "real estate," to "Big D" used in association with real estate brokerage services does not take away the primarily geographically descriptiveness of the mark.

Decision: The opposition is sustained.

⁶ Even if some customers may believe that "the phrase 'BIG D' relates to Applicant's last name 'DuBell'" as applicant asserts in her brief (unnumbered p. 7), the term particularly in Texas would remain geographic.

EXHIBIT G

7/18/01

THIS DISPOSITION IS NOT CITABLE AS PRECEDENT OF THE T.T.A.B.

Paper No. 15 HRW

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

In re BJIP, Inc.

Serial No. 75/529,080

Kathleen M. Olster of Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP for BJIP, Inc.

Lauriel Dalier, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 113 (Meryl Hershkowitz, Managing Attorney).

Before Simms, Wendel and Bottorff, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Wendel, Administrative Trademark Judge:

BJIP, Inc. has filed an application to register the mark HAVANA for "furniture, namely, dining and occasional indoor and outdoor furniture."

Registration has been finally refused on the ground that the mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive under Section 2(e)(3). Applicant and the

¹ Serial No. 75/529,080, filed July 30, 1998, claiming a first use date and first use in commerce date of August 11, 1997.

Examining Attorney have filed briefs, but an oral hearing was not requested.

In order to establish a prima facie case that a mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive under Section 2(e)(3) the Examining Attorney must show that the mark (1) has as its primary significance a generally known geographic place, and (2) identifies products that purchasers are likely to believe mistakenly are connected with that location, i.e., would make a goods/place association. See In re Wada, 194 F.3d 1297, 52 USPQ2d 1539, 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1999); Institut National des Appellations D'Origine v. Vinters International Co., 958 F.2d 1574, 22 USPQ2d 1190 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Needless to say, a preliminary requirement is that the goods with which the mark is being used do not originate from the named place.

Here the Examining Attorney maintains that the mark
HAVANA is primarily geographically deceptively
misdescriptive when used with applicant's furniture, which
does not originate from Havana, Cuba. In support of her
argument that the primary significance of the term Havana
is as a generally known geographic location, the Examining
Attorney has made of record a dictionary definition of

Havana as the capital and largest city of Cuba.² That applicant's goods do not originate in Havana is said to be evident not only from applicant's corporate location but also its statements concerning the U. S. trade sanctions against Cuba which would prohibit any such importation. To show that there is a "reasonable basis for concluding that there is a public association between applicant's furniture goods and the city of Havana," the Examining Attorney relies upon various excerpts from articles obtained from the Nexis database relating to the manufacture and sale of furniture in Havana. On the basis of this evidence, the Examining Attorney argues that a prima facie case has been made that applicant's mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive.

Applicant states that it does not dispute that the Examining Attorney has established that Havana is the name of a geographic location, that applicant's goods do not come from this location and that furniture is manufactured in Havana. (Reply brief, p.2). Applicant argues, however, that this is insufficient evidence to establish a prima facie case that purchasers would be likely to believe that applicant's goods originate from Havana. Applicant

² The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 3rd ed. 1992.

contends that the requisite goods/place association between furniture and Havana in the mind of the public has not been established.

In support of its contention, applicant points to the Board's decision in In re Municipal Capital Markets Corp., 51 USPQ2d 1369 (TTAB 1999) as being definitive of the type of evidence which the Examining Attorney must present to satisfy the goods/place association requirement. In that case the applicant was seeking to register the mark COOPERSTOWN for restaurant services. The Examining Attorney had compiled a large number of extracts from newspapers and magazines showing that there were restaurants in Cooperstown. The Board held that in order to prove a goods/place association, "the Examining Attorney must present evidence that does something more than merely establish that services as ubiquitous as restaurant services are offered in the pertinent geographic location." Id. At 1371. The Board held that the "mere fact that restaurant services were offered in Cooperstown ... is simply not sufficient by itself to establish the requisite goods/place association." Evidence such as that showing that the location had a somewhat greater than normal number of restaurants offering a particular cuisine was suggested as a possible satisfaction of the requirement.

Applicant argues that here also the Examining Attorney is required to show something more than the mere fact that goods as ubiquitous as furniture are offered or manufactured in Havana. Applicant argues that furniture is used in every household; everyone must furnish their homes or offices; and thus every community must have a place for purchasing furniture. Based on this reasoning, applicant insists that furniture must also be considered as a ubiquitous product and that, as a result, insufficient evidence has been made of record to establish a goods/place association.

We do not find the "something more" requirement of the Municipal Capital case applicable here. Although furniture is found in every home or office, it does not follow that furniture is offered or manufactured in every town. While it is hard to imagine even a small town without a restaurant of some type, furniture stores are not so commonly found. Although furniture may be used in every home or office, this can not be equated with the furniture being purchased in the same locality. Clearly, the public would not be likely to view each and every city or town as a place in which furniture is produced. No parallel can be drawn between the sale and production of furniture and the offering and provision of restaurant services. Thus, we

will not hold the Examining Attorney to the stricter standard of "something more" in establishing a goods/place association when furniture products constitute the goods in issue. We find the evidence of record adequate to establish prima facie that applicant's mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdecriptive.

Applicant further argues that even if a prima facie case has been established, applicant has rebutted this prima facie case by showing that the term "Havana" is not being used to indicate a location, but rather to evoke a "life-style" or suggest a "desirable aura" of applicant's furniture. As support for its position, applicant points to excerpted Nexis articles which the Examining Attorney has made of record, although for a different purpose. In her response to applicant's request for reconsideration the Examining Attorney introduced these articles to buttress her arguments that Havana is associated not only with furniture but a style of furniture and that, as a

³ Applicant's argument that there is a further requirement that customers will not be deceived by applicant's mark because they will not rely upon the geographic significance of the mark in deciding to buy the product is not well taken. The refusal here is under Section 2(e)(3), not 2(a). The additional requirement that the geographic misrepresentation must be material to the decision of the purchaser to buy the goods bearing the mark comes into play only in a refusal that the mark is geographically deceptive under Section 2(a). See Institut National v. Vintners International, supra; In re Juleigh Jeans Sportswear Inc., 24 USPQ2d 1694 (TTAB 1992).

consequence, purchasers would be likely to believe that applicant's furniture was in fact from Havana. In a leading article we note excerpts such as the following:

... "Cuba is also well known for its colorful style and rich cultural heritage. So it's no surprise that the country has been a source of inspiration for designers and furniture manufacturers.

"In fact, I think consumers will begin to see more Cuba-inspired products, especially in home furnishings."

This trend we'll call "Old Havana Style" is taking over the country in everything from furniture to fabric to artwork.

Much of the furniture resembles European colonial or plantation-style with caning, carving and dark wood accented with decorative wrought iron. ...

... This design trend, experts agree, most likely started to emerge at the October 1998 International Home Furnishings Market with the introduction of Pennsylvania House's "Old Havana" and the "Havana" segment of Thomasville's "Ernest Hemingway" collection of furniture.

... Quintana, who is an assistant professor at Florida Internation University's School of Architecture in Miami, said the furniture created in Cuba was very beautiful, very useful and worth copying.

San Diego Union-Tribune (November 12, 2000)

Similar articles by the same author as published in three other newspapers were also made of record. In one of these we note the following additional discussion:

Nationally, "Havana" has become the new design buzzword. Pennsylvania House and Thomasville stamped the moniker on recent furniture lines. Scalamandre and Mulberry Home have introduced fabrics. Even Pottery Barn featured a woven Havana trunk in its Early Fall 2000 catalog.

The Oregonian (October 14, 2000).

We agree that this evidence demonstrates that Cuba- or Havana-style furniture has become very popular in recent years in the United States. The name "Havana" or "Old Havana" has been adopted by other furniture houses in connection with certain lines of furniture, although whether it is being used as a brand name or a descriptive term is unknown. Nonetheless, we are not convinced that the evidence before us establishes other than that for which the Examining Attorney made it of record, namely that there is a distinctive type of furniture which originates in Havana and to which purchasers would be attracted for this very reason. Whether or not such a style has been copied by furniture manufacturers in the United States does not does contradict the primary significance of the term, as a geographic indication of the source of the style. We liken the situation here to that in In re Bacardi & Co. Ltd., 48 USPQ2d 1031, 1034 (TTAB 1998) wherein the applicant argued that the term HAVANA, when used with rum and cocktails containing rum, evoked "an historical and stylistic image" associated with a "pre-Castro freewheeling lifestyle." The Board held that "even if

applicant had established an association between HAVANA and a particular lifestyle, such association would not contradict the primary geographic significance of the term, as the association may be made precisely because of the primary significance of HAVANA as a city in Cuba."

Here we find the same to hold true. The primary significance of the term HAVANA is as a geographic location. The fact that a certain style of furniture is associated with this location does not detract from this primary significance but rather adds to the likelihood that purchasers would associate these goods with this location. Applicant has clearly failed to demonstrate that a domestic Havana-type of furniture has become so prevalent in the United States that purchasers would reasonably be expected to recognize that furniture of this nature was not actually produced in Havana, but rather was simply copies or U. S. renditions of a Havana-like style of furniture. See In re Narada Productions Inc., 57 USPQ2d 1801 (TTAB 2001) (no evidence that there is a recognized genre or style of music known as "Cuba L.A." such that designation would be seen primarily as identifying such a style of music rather than the geographic origin of applicant's goods and services).

Ser No. 75/529,080

The goods/place association remains strong between Havana and furniture. Accordingly, applicant has failed to rebut the prima facie case established by the Examining Attorney.

Decision: The refusal to register under Section 2(e)(3) is affirmed.



THIS DISPOSITION IS NOT CITABLE AS PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB

Mailed: September 6, 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

Doyna, Ltd.

v.

Doyna Michigan Co.

Cancellation No. 92033012

Thomas S. Keaty and Bella I. Safro of Keaty Professional Law Corporation for Doyna, Ltd.

Doyna Michigan Co., pro se. 1

Before Seeherman, Chapman and Walsh, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Chapman, Administrative Trademark Judge:

Doyna, Ltd. (a New York limited liability company) has filed a petition to cancel a registration issued on the Principal Register to Doyna Michigan Co. (a Michigan

¹ On December 18, 2003, respondent filed a letter to the Board interpreted by the Board (in an order dated April 6, 2004, p. 2) as a motion to extend dates. In the letter respondent's president, Alexander Kaytser, stated that "the attorney that we have retained for this matter is unable to attend the proceedings due to other obligations." To be clear, no attorney has ever entered an appearance on respondent's behalf in this cancellation proceeding.

corporation) for the mark ZHIGULY for "beer" in International Class 32.2

Petitioner alleges, inter alia, that it "is now and has been for the last several years engaged in the business of importing and selling alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages, including beer 'Zhigulevskojo' (Zhigulevskoye) or 'Zhiguli' for short" (paragraph 1); that petitioner "has been importing 'Zhiguli' beer from Russia, particularly 'Zhiguli' beer manufactured by Brewery Hamovniki (Khamovniki), Moscow, Russia" (paragraph 2); that 'Zhigulevskoye' beer is named for its place of origin, the town of Zhiguli in the Samara region of Russia; that 'Zhiquli' or 'Zhiquly' is a wellknown mountain range along the Volga river, in Russia, and it is also the name of a region which includes the mountain range and a national park; that "the term 'Zhiguli' is a well-known geographic place" and it is a "well-known geographic place in Russia where beer is manufactured" (paragraphs 8-9); that "the term 'Zhiquli' has long been associated with Russian beer in the minds of the U.S. public" and "the term is recognized as a geographic term and as a term for Russian beer by distributors of alcoholic beverages in this country" (paragraph 16); that "purchasers of [respondent's] products would reasonably identify or

² Registration No. 2549428 issued March 19, 2002, from an application filed August 25, 2000, based on a claimed date of first use and first use in commerce of August 1, 2000.

associate the goods sold under the mark 'Zhiguli' [sic -'Zhiguly'] with the geographic location contained in the
mark" (paragraph 23); that respondent imports and sells beer
manufactured in a brewery in Lithuania; and that respondent
(through an attorney) sent a letter to petitioner demanding
that petitioner cease its use of the mark ZHIGULI for beer
based on respondent's asserted rights in the mark ZHIGULY.

Based on these allegations, petitioner alleges that (i) respondent obtained its registration of a geographic term for its beer products contrary to the provisions of Section 2(e)(2) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. \$1052(e)(2); or (ii) alternatively, the mark ZHIGULY, in relation to respondent's goods, is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive contrary to the provisions of Section 2(e)(3) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. \$1052(e)(3); or (iii) alternatively, respondent obtained registration of a deceptive term contrary to the provisions of Section 2(a) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. \$1052(a), because respondent imports and sells beer made in Lithuania under a mark which identifies a place in Russia.

In its answer respondent admits the following paragraphs of the petition to cancel:

"Petitioner has been importing 'Zhiguli' beer from Russia, particularly 'Zhiguli' beer manufactured by Brewery Hamovniki (Khamovniki), Moscow, Russia" and there is a reference to petitioner's beer label, Exhibit No. 1 (paragraph 2);

"Registrant applied for a trademark registration for 'Zhiguly' in International Class 32 on August 25, 2000, alleging the date of first use in interstate commerce of August 1, 2000. On March 25, 2002, the mark was registered on the Principal Register for beer" (paragraph 17);

"...Registrant imports and sells beer manufactured by Gubernija Brewery in the city of Shaulay, Lithuania" and there is a reference to respondent's beer label, Exhibit No. 24 (paragraph 18); and

"On May 24, 2002, Registrant, through its attorneys..., sent a letter to [petitioner], demanding that Petitioner cease and desist using the term 'Zhiguli'..." and there is a reference to a copy of the letter, Exhibit No. 25 (paragraph 24).

Respondent otherwise denies the salient allegations of the petition to cancel.

The Record

The record includes the pleadings, and particularly, the paragraphs admitted by respondent, including the exhibits mentioned therein -- copies of petitioner's beer label, respondent's beer label and respondent's cease and desist letter to petitioner (Exhibit Nos. 1, 24 and 25);³ and the file of respondent's registration as provided in

³ With one exception not relevant herein, exhibits to pleadings are not evidence of record in the case unless properly identified and introduced during testimony. See Trademark Rule 2.122(c). See also, TBMP §317 (2d ed. rev. 2004). However, the exhibits noted above (Nos. 1, 24 and 25) are of record because respondent admitted those paragraphs of the petition to cancel. In addition, we note that some of the exhibits to petitioner's

Trademark Rule 2.122(b)(1) and (2). Petitioner submitted the deposition transcript, with exhibits, of the testimony of its president and owner, Yakov Bromberg.⁴

Petitioner also submitted a notice of reliance on 17 listed items, some of which are admissible evidence and some of which are not. Petitioner noted in its brief (p. 8) that respondent "did not challenge Petitioner's evidence..." However, the adverse party is not necessarily obligated to object to evidence not submitted in accordance with the rules. A party waives its right to object only on certain matters (generally those relating to curable procedural matters). As the Board stated in Original Appalachian Artworks Inc. v. Streeter, 3 USPQ2d 1717, footnote 3 (TTAB 1987): "[A party] may not reasonably presume evidence is of record when that evidence was not offered in accordance with the Trademark Rules." See also, TBMP §§707.02(a) and 707.04 (2d ed. rev. 2004). In the circumstances of the case now before us, we find that respondent has not waived its objections to petitioner's involved materials. We now determine and explain seriatim the admissibility of petitioner's 17 noticed items.

Item Nos. 1-5 are copies of (i) a page from an Oxford

Press map of the Volga Basin, (ii) a page from an MSN map of

pleading were later properly submitted as items in petitioner's notice of reliance, which is fully discussed later herein.

the Samara region, (iii) a page from The Columbia Gazetteer of the World (1998), (iv) a few excerpted pages from a study on "Alcohol in the USSR" (1982) published by Duke (University) Press, and (v) a few excerpted pages from The World Guide To Beer (1977). These are all printed publications properly made of record by way of notice of reliance pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.122(e).

Item No. 6 is a copy of petitioner's requests for admissions to respondent which have been deemed admitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(a) as they were unanswered by respondent. This material is admissible under a notice of reliance pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.120(j)(3)(i).

Item Nos. 7-13 are photocopies of pages from Internet websites (some in English and some in Russian with a translation into English attached). As Internet materials are transitory in nature, they are not self-authenticating and therefore are not admissible under Trademark Rule 2.122(e) as printed publications. See Raccioppi v. Apogee Inc., 47 USPQ2d 1368, 1370 (TTAB 1998). See also, TBMP \$704.08 (2d ed. rev. 2004). Attached to the notice of reliance is the affidavit of Bella I. Safro, one of petitioner's attorneys, averring to information regarding

⁴ Respondent did not attend petitioner's deposition of Mr. Bromberg.

⁵ In addition, the Board noted in an order dated April 6, 2004 that petitioner's requests for admission were deemed admitted by operation of Rule 36(a).

the translations from Russian to English and the sources of the Internet printouts. However, the affidavit testimony of a witness is not admissible unless the parties have agreed thereto in writing pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.123(b). There is no such stipulation of the parties herein. Thus, none of the Internet evidence is admissible and cannot be considered.

Item No. 14 consists of photocopies of one-page letters from four U.S. distributors of alcoholic beverages (in California, Georgia and New York). These letters are not printed publications under Trademark Rule 2.122(e), and there is nothing in the record to indicate that respondent stipulated to the entry of such evidence. These four letters cannot be considered.

Item No. 15, the affidavit of a Russian-born person now living in Tennessee, is inadmissible for the reason explained above regarding Trademark Rule 2.123(b).

Item No. 16, a photocopy of respondent's beer label, is already of record as Exhibit No. 24 to petitioner's pleading, because it was admitted by respondent in its answer.

Item No. 17 is a copy of a letter sent by respondent to the Board during the prosecution of this cancellation proceeding. This is neither a printed publication nor an

official record under Trademark Rule 2.122(e). It cannot be considered herein.

In sum, Item Nos. 1-6, and 16 from petitioner's notice of reliance are properly of record and have been considered in reaching our decision. Item Nos. 7-15 and 17 are not properly of record and have not been considered by the Board. Of course, all evidence of record is considered only for whatever appropriate probative value it may have.

Only petitioner filed a brief on the case after trial, and neither party requested an oral hearing.

The Parties

Petitioner, Doyna Ltd., located in Brooklyn, New York, was founded in 1997 and is an importer of wine, beer and spirits from eastern European countries. Petitioner has been importing ZHIGULI beer, from Moscow, Russia, for over three years.

The information of record regarding respondent comes from its registration file; from its admissions in its answer to the petition to cancel; and from its deemed admitted answers to petitioner's requests for admission (the latter item having been made of record by petitioner).

Respondent, Doyna Michigan Co., is a Michigan corporation located in Farmington Hills, Michigan. Respondent imports and sells beer manufactured by a brewery in Shaulay,

Lithuania. Respondent first used the mark ZHIGULY for beer

on August 1, 2000. In May 2002, respondent sent a cease and desist letter to petitioner regarding petitioner's use of the mark ZHIGULI for beer.

Burden of Proof

In Board proceedings regarding the registrability of marks, our primary reviewing Court has held that the plaintiff must establish its pleaded case, as well as its standing, and must generally do so by a preponderance of the evidence. See Cunningham v. Laser Golf Corp., 222 F.3d 943, 55 USPQ2d 1842, 1848 (Fed. Cir. 2000); and Cerveceria Centroamericana, S.A. v. Cerveceria India Inc., 892 F.2d 1021, 13 USPQ2d 1307, 1309 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Standing

Standing requires only that a party seeking cancellation of a registration have a good faith belief that it is likely to be damaged by the registration. See Section 14 of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. §1064. See also, 3 J. Thomas McCarthy, McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition, §20:46 (4th ed. 2005). The belief in damage can be shown by establishing a direct commercial interest.

Petitioner uses the mark ZHIGULI for beer, and respondent demanded that petitioner cease such use in a May 2002 letter from an attorney for respondent to petitioner. These facts establish petitioner's direct commercial

interest and its standing to petition to cancel. See Cunningham v. Laser Golf Corp., supra.

Pleaded Grounds

Petitioner has pleaded three grounds for cancellation - Section 2(a) deceptive, 15 U.S.C. §1052(a), Section
2(e)(2) primarily geographically descriptive, 15 U.S.C.
\$1052(e)(2), and Section 2(e)(3) primarily geographically
deceptively misdescriptive, 15 U.S.C. §1052(e)(3).

We begin with a discussion of the relevant changes to the Trademark Act as a result of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Implementation Act, Pub. L. No. 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057 (1993), and the comments of our primary reviewing Court, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, in relation thereto.

NAFTA amended Section 2(e)(2) of the Trademark Act by deleting reference to primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive marks; adding Section 2(e)(3) to the Trademark Act to prohibit registration of primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive marks; and amending Section 2(f) of the Trademark Act to eliminate primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive marks from becoming registrable via a showing of acquired distinctiveness.

The Court in In re California Innovations, Inc., 329 F.3d 1334, 66 USPQ2d 1853 (Fed. Cir. 2003), concluded that

the standard for determining whether a mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive under the new Section 2(e)(3) of the Act is different from, and more rigorous than, the standard for determining registrability of the same types of marks under Section 2(e)(2) of the Act prior to the NAFTA amendment. The Court stated the following (66 USPQ2d at 1856-1857, and 1858):

NAFTA and its implementing legislation obliterated the distinction between geographically deceptive marks and primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive marks.

Thus, §1052 no longer treats geographically deceptively misdescriptive marks differently from geographically deceptive marks. Like geographically deceptive marks, the analysis for primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive marks under §1052(e)(3) focuses on deception of, or fraud on, the consumer. ... Accordingly, the test for rejecting a deceptively misdescriptive mark is no longer simple lack of distinctiveness, but the higher showing of deceptiveness.

The amended Lanham Act gives geographically deceptively misdescriptive marks the same treatment as geographically deceptive marks under \$1052(a).

As a result of the NAFTA changes to the Lanham Act, geographic deception is specifically dealt with in subsection (e)(3), while deception in general continues to be addressed under subsection (a). Consequently this court anticipates that the PTO will usually address geographically deceptive marks under subsection (e)(3) of the amended Lanham Act rather than subsection (a). While there are identical legal standards for deception in each section, subsection (e)(3) specifically involves deception involving geographic marks.

In view thereof, we will give no further consideration to petitioner's Section 2(a) claim, but will turn to an analysis of the Section 2(e)(3) ground.

The Court in <u>California Innovations</u> articulated the following standard for determining whether a mark is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive, <u>Id</u>. at 66 USPQ2d at 1858:

(1) the primary significance of the mark is a generally known geographic location, (2) the consuming public is likely to believe the place identified by the mark indicates the origin of the goods bearing the mark, when in fact the goods do not come from that place, and (3) the misrepresentation was a material factor in the consumer's decision.

See also, In re Save Venice New York Inc., 259 F.3d 1346, 59 USPQ2d 1778 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

With regard to the first prong of the test for whether a term is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive, "the primary significance of the mark is a generally known geographic location," petitioner's evidence clearly shows that Zhiguly (also spelled Zhiguli) is a geographic place in Russia and is primarily known as that geographic location. Zhiguly is the name of an area in Russia on the Volga River, as well as a town in Russia, a mountain range and a national park, all in the Zhiguly region. This area is known for its natural beauty and it is

referred to as "the pearl of Russia." (Bromberg dep., pp. 11-13; and notice of reliance item Nos. 1-3.) Respondent

⁶ The word is sometimes spelled ending with an "i" and sometimes ending with a "y." The difference appears to be a difference in transliteration from the Russian alphabet.

admits that ZHIGULY is the name of a geographic region in the Volga region of Russia; and that both the city of Zhiguly and the Zhiguly National Preserve are located in Russia.

On this record, Zhiguly, Russia is not an obscure place. It is not a small region, and there are numerous geographic places named Zhiguly in the region, including a town, a mountain range and a national preserve. The first prong of the test has been met. Cf., In re Societe Generale des Eaux Minerales de Vittel S.A., 824 F.2d 957, 3 USPQ2d 1450 (Fed. Cir. 1987); In re Bavaria St. Pauli Brauerei AG, 222 USPQ 926 (TTAB 1984); and In re Brauerei Aying Franz Inselkammer KG, 217 USPQ 73 (TTAB 1983).

Turning to whether "the consuming public is likely to believe the place identified by the mark indicates the origin of the goods bearing the mark, when in fact the goods do not come from that place," we find that petitioner has established this prong of the test. Beer has been produced in the Zhiguly region of Russia for over 40 years; and the Zhiguly region along the Volga River is associated with beer. "Zhiguly, or Zhigulyovskoye, it's a short name for regional place of production." (Bromberg dep., p. 12.) 7

"The Zhiguly represent basically the traditional beer

⁷ Mr. Bromberg grew up in the Soviet Union/Russia, and it is apparent from his testimony that his English grammar is not perfect.

production [in] former Soviet Union and Russia. And it's connected to certain place in Volga region -- Zhiguly."

(Bromberg dep., p. 13.) Petitioner imports ZHIGULI beer for its "community" of Russian-speaking customers, and this community numbers about seven and one-half million people.

(Bromberg dep., p. 14.)

The 1982 study "Alcohol in the USSR" published by Duke (University) Press, includes the following statements (pp. 16 and 24):

The popular Zhiguli beer containing 2.8 percent alcohol composed some 90 percent of all beer produced in 1956 ... and its dominance has probably remained.

There are eight to ten brands of beer sold in the USSR, but Zhiguli beer constituted about 90 percent of all beer sold in this period. ...

The average price of Zhiguli beer rose from 0.45 rubles in 1954 to 0.47 in 1978.

Further, The World Guide To Beer (p. 197) states: "The range includes Russia's everyday beer-brand, the light ...
'Zhiguli,' which is named after the region where the barley is grown. In the brewing of 'Zhiguli,' unmalted barley and corn-flour are used as adjuncts."

While we do not take these statements in the two publications for the truth of the matter asserted, they provide additional evidence as to the perception of the relevant consumers, the Russian-speaking community in the United States. See also, Mr. Bromberg's testimony relating to learning about Zhiguly, Russia and "Zhiguly" beer from

the time of his childhood in Russia. (Bromberg dep., p. 12.)

There is no question that respondent's beer does not come from Zhiguly, Russia or the Zhiguly region of Russia. Respondent has admitted that the beer it imports and sells under the mark ZHIGULY is produced in a brewery in Lithuania. See petitioner's notice of reliance Item No. 6 (petitioner's requests for admission, request No. 6).

As to the third prong of the test, "the misrepresentation was a material factor in the consumer's decision," the record establishes that Zhiguly, Russia is known for its beer and that the relevant public in the United States is aware of that connection. According to the record, there are seven and one-half million people in the Russian-speaking community in the United States who would purchase this beer specifically because of the geographic connotation (Bromberg dep., pp. 14 and 17). This is not an insignificant number of purchasers. That is, for at least this number of consumers the term "Zhiguly," and the belief that the beer comes from the Zhiguly region, is material to their decision to buy the product.

Accordingly, we find that petitioner has established, by a preponderance of evidence, that respondent's registered mark ZHIGULY is primarily geographically deceptively

misdescriptive in relation to respondent's beer not made in the Zhiguly region of Russia.

We have held herein that the term ZHIGULY is primarily geographically deceptively misdescriptive for beer not made in the Zhiguly region of Russia. Because respondent's beer does not come from the place named, the term cannot be primarily geographically descriptive under Section 2(e)(2) in relation to respondent's goods.

Decision: The petition to cancel is granted only on the ground of geographically deceptive misdescriptiveness, and Registration No. 2549428 will be cancelled in due course.